arXiv:1211.3585v2 [nlin.SI] 24 Nov 2012

Integrable properties of the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and continuum limits

Wei Fu[a], Lin Huang[b], K.M. Tamizhmani[c], Da-jun Zhang[a*]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R.China*

[b] *School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P.R.China*

[c] *Department of Mathematics, Pondicherry University, Puducherry 605014, India*

November 27, 2012

Abstract

The paper reveals clear links between the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and the (continuous) Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, together with their symmetries, Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities. They are connected through a uniform continuum limit. We derive isospectral and non-isospectral differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili flows through Lax triads, where the spatial variable $\bar{x}$ is looked as a new independent variable that is completely independent of the temporal variable $\bar{t}_1$. Such treatments not only enable us to derive the master symmetry as one of integrable non-isospectral flows, but also provide simple representations for both isospectral and non-isospectral differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili flows in terms of zero curvature equations. The obtained flows generate a Lie algebra with respect to Lie product $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]$, which further leads to two sets of symmetries for the isospectral differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, and the symmetries generate a Lie algebra, too. Making use of the recursive relations of the flows, symmetries and Noether operator we derive Hamiltonian structures for both isospectral and non-isospectral differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchies. The Hamiltonians generate a Lie algebra with respect to Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$. We then derive two sets of conserved quantities for the whole isospectral differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and they also generate a Lie algebra. All these obtained algebras have same basic structures. Then, we provide a continuum limit which is different from Miwa's transformation. By means of defining *degrees* of some elements with respect to the continuum limit, we prove that the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchies together with their Lax triads, zero curvature representations and integrable properties go to their continuous counterparts in the continuum limit. Structure deformation of Lie algebras in the continuum limit is also explained.

Keywords: differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, symmetries, Hamiltonian structures, conserved quantities, continuum limit.
MSC 2010: 37K05, 37K10, 37K30
PACS: 02.30.Ik

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

It is well known that the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation

$$u_t = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-1}u_{yy} \tag{1.1}$$

acts as a typical role in (2+1)-dimensional integrable systems. This equation together with its bilinear form is an elementary model in the celebrated Sato's theory [1, 2]. The KP equation itself as well as its integrable characteristics, such as infinitely many symmetries and conserved quantities, can be derived from a pseudo-differential operator[1] [3–5],

$$L = \partial + u_2\partial^{-1} + u_3\partial^{-2} + \cdots . \tag{1.2}$$

The operator can also generate a KP hierarchy [1,5]. Most of (1+1)-dimensional Lax integrable systems have their own recursion operators, while for (2+1)-dimensional systems it is quite rare to see that. However, the KP hierarchy does have a recursive structure which is expressed either through a recursion operator [6] or through a master symmetry together with Lie product [7]. By means of the recursive structure, a KP hierarchy was built, and symmetries, Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities of the whole isospectral KP hierarchies were generated [7–11]. In fact, the KP hierarchy constructed in [7] by using the recursive structure and the KP hierarchy derived from the pseudo-differential operator (1.2) are same.

The differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (DΔKP) equation reads

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}} = (1 + 2\Delta^{-1})\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - 2h^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 2\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}, \tag{1.3}$$

with one discrete independent variable n and two continuous ones $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{t}$, where the operator Δ is defined by $\Delta f(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$ and h is a spacing parameter of n. This equation is first derived through a discretization of the Sato's theory [12]. The discretization is also known as Miwa's transformation [13]. Based on the transformation it is quite natural to get bilinear identities with discrete exponential functions, from which one can derive bilinear equations with discrete variables [12, 14–17]. However, since Miwa's transformation does not keep the original continuous dispersion relation, for a integrable discrete equation it is hard from the first glance to find the correspondence to a continuous counterpart. It is first shown in [18] that the DΔKP equation is related to the following pseudo-difference operator

$$\bar{L} = \Delta + \bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_1\Delta^{-1} + \bar{u}_2\Delta^{-2} + \cdots , \tag{1.4}$$

with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u}$ and $\bar{t}_1 = \bar{x}$ [18]. By using the above pseudo-difference operator some integrable properties of the DΔKP equation, such as symmetries and conservation laws, were investigated [18–21].

In this paper, for the DΔKP equation (1.3) we will first investigate the recursive structure of the DΔKP hierarchy. To do that we need to introduce a master symmetry (cf. [22]). Usually master symmetries are related to time-dependent spectral parameters and can be derived from spectral problems as non-isospectral flows. Since isospectral and non-isospectral DΔKP flows are simultaneously considered and they are related to the same spectral problem, we can not take $\bar{t}_1 = \bar{x}$ any longer and we have to consider $\bar{x}$ as a new independent variable. Consequently,

[1] Detailed definition of L is given in next section.

we use a Lax triad rather than a Lax pair to derive the DΔKP hierarchies and it turns out that this works.

In the paper our plan is the following. After introducing necessary notations in Sec.2, we will revisit the KP hierarchy in Sec.3. We will derive isospectral and non-isospectral KP flows via Lax triad approach. The approach provides simple zero curvature representations for these flows, by which one can easily obtain a Lie algebra of the flows. The basic structure of the algebra indicates a recursive relation for both isospectral and non-isospectral KP flows. Integrable properties of the isospectral KP hierarchy, such as symmetries, Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities and Hamiltonian structures of non-isospectral KP hierarchy, are also listed out in this section as the known results in literature. Next, in Sec.4, we focus on the DΔKP hierarchy. By Lax triad approach we derive isospectral and non-isospectral DΔKP flows and their basic algebraic structure. The structure can be used to generate infinitely many symmetries for the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy as well as provides a recursive relation of flows. Then we will investigate their Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities for the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy, and Hamiltonian structures for the non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy. Finally in Sec.5, by means of continuum limit we will discuss possible connections between the KP hierarchies and DΔKP hierarchies together with their Lax triads and integrability characteristics.

2 Basic notions

A pseudo-differential operator L is defined as

$$L = \partial + u_2\partial^{-1} + u_3\partial^{-2} + \cdots + u_{j+1}\partial^{-j} + \cdots, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\partial \doteq \partial_x$, $\partial\partial^{-1} = \partial^{-1}\partial = 1$ and $u_j = u_j(x, y, \mathbf{t})$ with $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \cdots)$. ∂^s obeys the Leibniz rule

$$\partial^s f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{C}_s^i (\partial^i f)\partial^{s-i}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathrm{C}_s^i = \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)\cdots(s-i+1)}{i!}. \tag{2.3}$$

We suppose $\{u_j\}$ belong to a rapidly-decreasing function space $\mathcal{S}$, and introduce a set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f = f(u) | u = u(x, y, \mathbf{t}) \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } f(u)|_{u=0} = 0\}.$$

The inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{F}$ is taken as

$$(f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(u)g(u)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.4}$$

A second product $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]$ on $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$[\![f, g]\!] = f'[g] - g'[f], \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$f'[g] = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(u + \varepsilon g)\big|_{\varepsilon=0} \tag{2.6}$$

is the Gâteaux derivative of f in direction g w.r.t. u.

For a functional $H = H(u)$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, if

$$H'[g] = (f, g), \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{2.7}$$

then f is called the functional derivative or gradient of H, and H is called the potential of f. Such an f is usually denoted by $\frac{\delta H}{\delta u}$ or grad H.

Proposition 2.1. *[23] $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is a gradient function if and only if f' is a self-adjoint operator in terms of the inner product* (2.4)*, i.e. $f'^* = f'$. The corresponding potential H can be given by*

$$H = \int_0^1 (f(\lambda u), u) \mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{2.8}$$

For a given evolution equation

$$u_t = K(u), \tag{2.9}$$

$\kappa = \kappa(u) \in \mathcal{F}$ is a symmetry of the above equation if

$$\kappa_t = K'[\kappa] \tag{2.10}$$

holds for all of u solving (2.9). (2.10) is alternately written as

$$\tilde{\partial}_t \kappa = [\![K, \kappa]\!], \tag{2.11}$$

where the operator $\tilde{\partial}_t \kappa$ stands for taking the derivative w.r.t. t only explicitly contained in κ, e.g. if $\kappa = tu_x + uu_{xx}$, then $\tilde{\partial}_t \kappa = u_x$. Function $\gamma = \gamma(u) \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a conserved covariant of equation (2.9) if [23]

$$\gamma_t = -K'^*[\gamma] \tag{2.12}$$

or

$$-\tilde{\partial}_t \gamma = \gamma'[K] + K'^*[\gamma] \tag{2.13}$$

holds for all of u solving (2.9). Here K'^* is the adjoint operator of K' w.r.t. $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Functional $I = I(u)$ is called a conserved quantity of equation (2.9) if

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

holds for any u solving (2.9). Conserved quantities and conserved covariants are closely related to each other (cf. [23]). One relation is

Proposition 2.2. *If $\kappa(u)$ is a symmetry and $\gamma = \gamma(u)$ is a conserved covariant of equation* (2.9)*, then*

$$I = (\kappa(u), \gamma(u)) \tag{2.15}$$

is a conserved quantity of (2.9)*.*

Proof. Let us give the proof for completeness. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI}{dt} &= (\kappa_t, \gamma) + (\kappa, \gamma_t) \\ &= (K'[\kappa], \gamma) + (\kappa, -K'^*[\gamma]) \\ &= (K'[\kappa], \gamma) + (-K'[\kappa], \gamma) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Another relation is

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that $\gamma = \gamma(u)$ is a gradient function and functional $I = I(u)$ is its potential and $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}\big|_{u=0} = 0$. Then, I is a conserved quantity of equation* (2.9) *if and only if γ is a conserved covariant of* (2.9).

Proof. Let us first prove the equality

$$\tilde{\partial}_t(I'[g]) = (\tilde{\partial}_t I)'[g] + I'[\tilde{\partial}_t g], \quad \forall g = g(u) \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.16}$$

Write $I = I(t, \{u^{(j)}\})$ where $u^{(j)} = \partial_x^j u$. Then,

$$I'[g] = \sum_j \frac{\partial I}{\partial u^{(j)}} \partial_x^j g,$$

and

$$\tilde{\partial}_t(I'[g]) = \sum_j \frac{\partial(\tilde{\partial}_t I)}{\partial u^{(j)}} \partial_x^j g + \sum_j \frac{\partial I}{\partial u^{(j)}} \partial_x^j(\tilde{\partial}_t g) = (\tilde{\partial}_t I)'[g] + I'[\tilde{\partial}_t g],$$

i.e. (2.16). Since $\gamma = \operatorname{grad} I$, i.e. $I'[g] = (\gamma, g)$, we then have

$$\tilde{\partial}_t(\gamma, g) = (\tilde{\partial}_t I)'[g] + (\gamma, \tilde{\partial}_t g),$$

i.e.

$$(\tilde{\partial}_t I)'[g] = (\tilde{\partial}_t \gamma,\, g). \tag{2.17}$$

Next, when u satisfies equation (2.9) and noting that

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = \tilde{\partial}_t I + I'[u_t] = \tilde{\partial}_t I + I'[K] = \tilde{\partial}_t I + (\gamma, K),$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{F}$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}\right)'[g] &= (\tilde{\partial}_t I)'[g] + (\gamma, K)'[g] \\
&= (\tilde{\partial}_t \gamma, g) + (\gamma'[g], K) + (\gamma, K'[g]) \\
&= (\tilde{\partial}_t \gamma, g) + (\gamma'^* K, g) + (K'^* \gamma, g) \\
&= (\tilde{\partial}_t \gamma + \gamma' K + K'^* \gamma, g),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of $\gamma' = \gamma'^*$. Thus it is clear that if I is a conserved quantity of equation (2.9) then γ is a conserved covariant of (2.9), and vise versa. □

Operator Γ living on $\mathcal{F}$ is called a Noether operator of equation (2.9), if

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma K'^* + K'\Gamma, \tag{2.18}$$

or equivalently,

$$\tilde{\partial}_t \Gamma + \Gamma'[K] - \Gamma K'^* - K'\Gamma = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Γ maps conserved covariants of (2.9) to its symmetries. Operator θ living on $\mathcal{F}$ is called an implectic operator [23] if it is skew-symmetric as well as satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$(f, \theta'[\theta g]h) + (h, \theta'[\theta f]g) + (g, \theta'[\theta h]f) = 0, \quad \forall f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.20}$$

The evolution equation (2.9) has a Hamiltonian structure if it can be written in the form

$$u_t = \theta \frac{\delta H}{\delta u}, \tag{2.21}$$

where θ is an implectic operator.

Next we introduce a discrete independent variable n to replace the continuous variable x. The basic operation w.r.t. n is a shift. Here by E we denote a shift operator defined through $E^j g(n) = g(n+j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Besides, difference operator $\Delta = E - 1$ is a discrete analogue of differential operator ∂_x, and $\Delta^{-1} = (E-1)^{-1}$ is defined by $\Delta\Delta^{-1} = \Delta^{-1}\Delta = 1$. Δ^s follows a discrete Leibniz rule,

$$\Delta^s g(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{C}_s^i \, (\Delta^i g(n+s-i))\Delta^{s-i}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.22}$$

where C_s^i is defined as before. For example, we have

$$\Delta g(n) = g(n+1)\Delta + (\Delta g(n)), \tag{2.23a}$$
$$\Delta^2 g(n) = g(n+2)\Delta^2 + 2(\Delta g(n+1))\Delta + (\Delta^2 g(n)), \tag{2.23b}$$
$$\Delta^{-1} g(n) = g(n-1)\Delta^{-1} - (\Delta g(n-2))\Delta^{-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{j-1}(\Delta^{j-1} g(n-j))\Delta^{-j} + \cdots . \tag{2.23c}$$

Formula (2.22) can be proved by using mathematical inductive method, and we specify that it is also valid for negative integer s.

A pseudo-difference operator is defined as the following,

$$\bar{L} = h^{-1}\Delta + \bar{u}_0 + h\bar{u}_1\Delta^{-1} + \cdots + h^j \bar{u}_j \Delta^{-j} + \cdots , \tag{2.24}$$

where $\bar{u}_j = \bar{u}_j(n, \bar{x}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ with $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = (\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \cdots)$, and h acts as a lattice spacing parameter of n-direction.

As in continuous case, here we suppose $\{\bar{u}_j\}$ belong to a rapidly-decreasing function space $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, and also introduce a function set

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \{\bar{f} = \bar{f}(\bar{u}) | \bar{u} = \bar{u}(n, \bar{x}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) \in \bar{\mathcal{S}} \text{ and } \bar{f}(\bar{u})|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0\}.$$

The inner product in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined as

$$(\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})) = \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{f}(\bar{u})\bar{g}(\bar{u}) \, \mathrm{d}\bar{x}, \quad \forall \bar{f}, \bar{g} \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{2.25}$$

Then, we can define the semi-discrete counterparts of those notions and propositions for the continuous case described from (2.5) up to (2.21) by formally same formulae. We skip them here.

3 The KP system

3.1 The KP equation

Let us first quickly review the traditional derivation of the KP equation (cf. [1, 5]). After this, we will revisit it via Lax triad approach in next subsection.

The isospectral KP hierarchy (corresponding to $\eta_{t_m} = 0$) arise from the compatibility condition of the linear problems

$$L\phi = \eta\phi, \tag{3.1a}$$
$$\phi_{t_m} = A_m\phi, \tag{3.1b}$$

i.e.

$$L_{t_m} = [A_m, L] = A_m L - L A_m, \tag{3.2}$$

where L is the pseudo-differential operator (2.1) and $A_m = (L^m)_+$ is the differential part of L^m. Explicit formulae of A_m are given in [24]. The first few of A_m are

$$A_1 = \partial, \tag{3.3a}$$
$$A_2 = \partial^2 + 2u_2, \tag{3.3b}$$
$$A_3 = \partial^3 + 3u_2\partial + 3u_3 + 3u_{2,x}, \tag{3.3c}$$
$$A_4 = \partial^4 + 4u_2\partial^2 + (4u_3 + 6u_{2,x})\partial + 4u_4 + 6u_{3,x} + 4u_{2,xx} + 6u_2^2. \tag{3.3d}$$

From (3.2) we have

$$u_{j,t_1} = u_{j,x}, \quad (j = 2, 3, \cdots); \tag{3.4}$$

$$u_{2,t_2} = 2u_{3,x} + u_{2,xx}, \tag{3.5a}$$
$$u_{3,t_2} = 2u_{4,x} + u_{3,xx} + 2u_2u_{2,x}, \tag{3.5b}$$
$$u_{4,t_2} = 2u_{5,x} + u_{4,xx} + 4u_{2,x}u_3 - 2u_2u_{2,xx}, \tag{3.5c}$$
$$\cdots\cdots;$$

$$u_{2,t_3} = 3u_{4,x} + 3u_{3,xx} + u_{2,xxx} + 6u_2u_{2,x}, \tag{3.6a}$$
$$u_{3,t_3} = 3u_{5,x} + 3u_{4,xx} + u_{3,xxx} + 6(u_2u_3)_x, \tag{3.6b}$$
$$\cdots\cdots;$$

$$u_{2,t_4} = 4u_{5,x} + 6u_{4,xx} + 4u_{3,xxx} + u_{2,xxxx} + 12(u_2u_3)_x + 6(u_2u_{2,x})_x, \tag{3.7a}$$
$$\cdots\cdots.$$

To derive the KP equation one first needs to set $t_1 = x,\ t_2 = y$ and next using (3.5) one can successfully express u_3 and u_4 by u_2 and then from equation (3.6a) one obtains the isospectral KP equation (1.1), i.e.

$$u_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial^{-1}u_{yy}. \tag{3.8}$$

3.2 Lax triad and the isospectral KP hierarchy

Noting that the function u in the KP equation (3.8) depends on three independent variables (x, y, t_3), a Lax triad is actually needed for matching these three independent variables. Later, we will also see when we derive a master symmetry as a non-isospectral flow we can not take t_2 to be y any longer and we have to consider y and t_2 separately. This also requires a triad rather than a pair.

For the whole KP hierarchy we need

$$L\phi = \eta\phi, \quad \eta_{t_m} = 0, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$\phi_y = A_2\phi, \quad A_2 = \partial^2 + 2u_2, \tag{3.9b}$$

$$\phi_{t_m} = \hat{A}_m\phi, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{3.9c}$$

where we suppose

$$\hat{A}_m = \partial^m + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j\partial^{m-j}, \quad \hat{A}_m|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}} = \partial^m, \tag{3.10}$$

with $\mathbf{u} = (u_2, u_3, \cdots)$. We leave the coefficients $\{a_j\}$ temporarily unknown. The compatibility of (3.9) reads

$$L_y = [A_2, L], \tag{3.11a}$$

$$L_{t_m} = [\hat{A}_m, L], \tag{3.11b}$$

$$A_{2,t_m} - \hat{A}_{m,y} + [A_2, \hat{A}_m] = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{3.11c}$$

Among the above compatibility conditions, (3.11a) gives the relation (3.5) with y in place of t_2, which will be used to express $\{u_j\}_{j>2}$ by u_2, as the following,

$$u_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^{-1}u_{2,y} - u_{2,x}), \tag{3.12a}$$

$$u_4 = \frac{1}{4}(\partial^{-2}u_{2,yy} - 2u_{2,y} + u_{2,xx} - 2u_2^2), \tag{3.12b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_5 = \frac{1}{8}(&\partial^{-3}u_{2,yyy} - 3\partial^{-1}u_{2,yy} + 3u_{2,xy} - u_{2,xxx} \\ &+ 12u_2u_{2,x} - 8u_2\partial^{-1}u_{2,y} + 4\partial^{-1}u_2u_{2,y}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12c}$$

$$\cdots\cdots.$$

The equation (3.11b) plays the role to determine those unknowns $\{a_j\}$ of $\hat{A}_m$. In fact [24], $\{a_j\}$ can be uniquely determined from (3.11b) and it turns out that $\hat{A}_m$ is nothing but $A_m = (L^m)_+$. The third equation (3.11c) provides the isospectral KP hierarchy

$$u_{t_m} = K_m = \frac{1}{2}(A_{m,y} - [A_2, A_m]), \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{3.13}$$

where we neglect the $\hat{}$ sign due to $\hat{A}_m = A_m = (L^m)_+$, and we have taken $u_2 = u$. Let us write

down the first four equations in the KP hierarchy:

$$u_{t_1} = K_1 = u_x, \tag{3.14a}$$
$$u_{t_2} = K_2 = u_y, \tag{3.14b}$$
$$u_{t_3} = K_3 = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial^{-1}u_{yy}, \tag{3.14c}$$
$$u_{t_4} = K_4 = \frac{1}{2}u_{xxy} + 4uu_y + 2u_x\partial^{-1}u_y + \frac{1}{2}\partial^{-2}u_{yyy}. \tag{3.14d}$$

3.3 Lax triad and the non-isospectral KP hierarchy

To derive a master symmetry we turn to the non-isospectral case in which we set

$$\eta_{t_m} = \eta^{m-1}, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots . \tag{3.15}$$

In this turn the Lax triad reads

$$L\phi = \eta\phi, \tag{3.16a}$$
$$\phi_y = A_2\phi, \tag{3.16b}$$
$$\phi_{t_m} = B_m\phi, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots , \tag{3.16c}$$

and the compatibility is

$$L_y = [A_2, L], \tag{3.17a}$$
$$L_{t_m} = [B_m, L] + L^{m-1}, \tag{3.17b}$$
$$A_{2,t_m} - B_{m,y} + [A_2, B_m] = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots , \tag{3.17c}$$

where we suppose B_m is an undetermined operator of the form

$$B_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j \partial^{m-j}. \tag{3.18}$$

Checking the asymptotic results $(3.17b)_{\mathbf{u=0}}$ and $(3.17c)_{\mathbf{u=0}}$ respectively, one finds they together give the necessary asymptotic condition for B_m:

$$B_m|_{\mathbf{u=0}} = 2y\partial^m + x\partial^{m-1}, \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots . \tag{3.19}$$

We note that one can also add isospectral asymptotic terms, for example,

$$B_m|_{\mathbf{u=0}} = 2y\partial^m + x\partial^{m-1} + \partial^{m-2} \tag{3.20}$$

when $m \geq 3$. This will lead to a non-isospectral flow combined by a isospectral flow K_{m-2} and this does not change the basic algebraic structure of the flows (see Sec.3.4).

With the asymptotic condition (3.19) the operator B_m can uniquely be determined from (3.17b) and the first few of them are

$$B_1 = 2yA_1 + x, \tag{3.21a}$$
$$B_2 = 2yA_2 + xA_1, \tag{3.21b}$$
$$B_3 = 2yA_3 + xA_2 + (\partial^{-1}u_2), \tag{3.21c}$$
$$B_4 = 2yA_4 + xA_3 + (\partial^{-1}u_2)\partial + 2(\partial^{-1}u_3), \tag{3.21d}$$

where $A_j = (L^j)_+$ are defined as in Sec.3.1. Then, from (3.17c) we have the non-isospectral KP hierarchy

$$u_{t_m} = \sigma_m = \frac{1}{2}(B_{m,y} - [A_2, B_m]), \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{3.22}$$

and the first four equations are

$$u_{t_1} = \sigma_1 = 2yK_1, \tag{3.23a}$$

$$u_{t_2} = \sigma_2 = 2yK_2 + xK_1 + 2u, \tag{3.23b}$$

$$u_{t_3} = \sigma_3 = 2yK_3 + xK_2 + 2\partial^{-1}u_y - u_x, \tag{3.23c}$$

$$u_{t_4} = \sigma_4 = 2yK_4 + xK_3 + u_{xx} + 4u^2 + u_x\partial^{-1}u + \frac{3}{2}\partial^{-2}u_{yy} - \frac{3}{2}u_y, \tag{3.23d}$$

where $\{K_j\}$ are isospectral flows given in (3.13), and we have taken $u_2 = u$.

$\{K_m\}$ and $\{\sigma_m\}$ are respectively called the isospectral and non-isospectral KP flows. They are used to generate symmetries, Hamiltonians and conserved quantities for the isospectral KP hierarchy (3.13). For these flows we have

Proposition 3.1. *For the isospectral and non-isospectral KP flows $\{K_s\}$ and $\{\sigma_s\}$ we have*

$$K_s = \frac{1}{2}(A_{s,y} - [A_2, A_s]), \tag{3.24a}$$

$$\sigma_s = \frac{1}{2}(B_{s,y} - [A_2, B_s]), \tag{3.24b}$$

which are called zero curvature representations of the isospectral flow K_s and non-isospectral flow σ_s, respectively. Here we specify the asymptotic data

$$K_s|_{u=0} = 0, \ A_s|_{u=0} = \partial^s, \tag{3.24c}$$

$$\sigma_s|_{u=0} = 0, \ B_s|_{u=0} = 2y\partial^s + x\partial^{s-1}, \tag{3.24d}$$

for $s = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Besides, the isospectral flows $\{K_s\}$ can also be expressed in terms of the pseudo-differential operator L.

Proposition 3.2. *The isospectral flows $\{K_s\}$ defined by* (3.24a) *can be expressed as*

$$K_s = \partial \operatorname*{Res}_{\partial} L^s, \tag{3.25}$$

where

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{k}\left(\sum_{j=-m}^{+\infty} c_j k^j\right) = c_{-1}, \quad (m \geq 1).$$

Proof. From (3.24a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2K_s &= A_{s,y} - [A_2, A_s] \\ &= [(L^s - (L^s)_-)_y - [A_2, L^s - (L^s)_-]]_0 \\ &= [(L^s)_y - [A_2, L^s] - ((L^s)_-)_y + [A_2, (L^s)_-]]_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(L^s)_- = L^s - (L^s)_+$, and $(\,\cdot\,)_0$ means taking the constant part of the operator $(\,\cdot\,)$. Noting that (3.11a) indicates $(L^s)_y - [A_2, L^s] = 0$ we then have

$$2K_s = [A_2, (L^s)_-]_0 = 2\partial \operatorname*{Res}_{\partial} L^s$$

and we finish the proof. □

3.4 Algebra of flows, recursive structures and symmetries

The KP flows $\{K_l\}$ and $\{\sigma_r\}$ generate a Lie algebra w.r.t. the product $[\![\cdot\,,\cdot]\!]$ defined in (2.5). This fact can be proved by using the zero curvature representations of these flows.

Theorem 3.1. *The KP flows $\{K_l\}$ and $\{\sigma_r\}$ span (or generate) a Lie algebra*[2] $\mathbf{X}$ *with basic structure*

$$[\![K_l, K_r]\!] = 0, \tag{3.26a}$$
$$[\![K_l, \sigma_r]\!] = l\, K_{s+r-2}, \tag{3.26b}$$
$$[\![\sigma_l, \sigma_r]\!] = (l-r)\sigma_{l+r-2}, \tag{3.26c}$$

where $l, r \geq 1$ and we set $K_0 = \sigma_0 = 0$.

We prove the theorem through the following two lemmas. The first is

Lemma 3.1. *For the function $X = X(u) \in \mathcal{F}$ and differential operator*

$$N = a_0\partial^m + a_1\partial^{m-1} + \cdots + a_{m-1}\partial + a_m, \quad N|_{u=0} = 0$$

living on $\mathcal{F}$, the equation

$$2X - N_y + [A_2, N] = 0 \tag{3.27}$$

has only zero solution $X = 0,\ N = 0$. Here $A_2 = \partial^2 + 2u$ where we have taken $u_2 = u$.

Proof. Comparing the coefficient of the highest power of ∂ in (3.27), we find $a_0 = 0$. Then, step by step, one can successfully get $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_m = 0$, which leads to $N = 0$ and consequently $X = 0$. □

The second lemma is

Lemma 3.2. *The KP flows $\{K_l\}$ and $\{\sigma_r\}$ and operators $\{A_l\}$ and $\{B_r\}$ satisfy*

$$2[\![K_l, K_r]\!] = \langle A_l, A_r\rangle_y - [A_2, \langle A_l, A_r\rangle], \tag{3.28a}$$
$$2[\![K_l, \sigma_r]\!] = \langle A_l, B_r\rangle_y - [A_2, \langle A_l, B_r\rangle], \tag{3.28b}$$
$$2[\![\sigma_l, \sigma_r]\!] = \langle B_l, B_r\rangle_y - [A_2, \langle B_l, B_r\rangle], \tag{3.28c}$$

where

$$\langle A_l, A_r\rangle = A_l'[K_r] - A_r'[K_l] + [A_l, A_r], \tag{3.29a}$$
$$\langle A_l, B_r\rangle = A_l'[\sigma_r] - B_r'[K_l] + [A_l, B_r], \tag{3.29b}$$
$$\langle B_l, B_r\rangle = B_l'[\sigma_r] - B_r'[\sigma_l] + [B_l, B_r], \tag{3.29c}$$

and satisfy

$$\langle A_l, A_r\rangle|_{u=0} = 0, \tag{3.30a}$$
$$\langle A_l, B_r\rangle|_{u=0} = l\,\partial^{l+r-2}, \tag{3.30b}$$
$$\langle B_l, B_r\rangle|_{u=0} = (l-r)\big(2y\partial^{l+r-2} + x\partial^{l+r-3}\big). \tag{3.30c}$$

[2] By this we mean that $\{K_l\}$ and $\{\sigma_r\}$ generate a linear space $\mathbf{X} = \Big\{\sum_j \alpha_j K_j + \sum_j \beta_j\sigma_j,\ \ \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}\Big\}$ which is closed w.r.t. the Lie product $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]$.

Proof. We only prove (3.28b). The others are similar. From (3.24) by direct calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2K_l'[\sigma_r] &= (A_l'[\sigma_r])_y - [2\sigma_r, A_l] - [A_2, A_l'[\sigma_r]] \\ &= (A_l'[\sigma_r])_y - [B_{r,y}, A_l] + [[A_2, B_r], A_l] - [A_2, A_l'[\sigma_r]], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sigma_r'[K_l] &= (B_r'[K_l])_y - [2K_l, B_r] - [A_2, B_r'[K_l]] \\ &= (B_r'[K_l])_y - [A_{l,y}, B_r] + [[A_2, A_l], B_r] - [A_2, B_r'[K_l]]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by substraction we reach to (3.28b), where we need to make use of the Jacobi identity

$$[[A, B], C] + [[B, C], A] + [[C, A], B] = 0.$$

Besides, substituting the asymptotic data (3.24c) and (3.24d) into (3.29b) we get (3.30b). We note that the method to prove this lemma has been used for many systems, e.g. [25–31]. □

These two lemmas together with the zero curvature representations (3.24) immediately lead to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1 directly yields the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.1. *Each equation*

$$u_{t_s} = K_s \tag{3.31}$$

in the isospectral KP hierarchy (3.13) *has two sets of symmetries*

$$\{K_l\}, \quad \{\tau_r^s = st_s K_{s+r-2} + \sigma_r\} \tag{3.32}$$

and they generate a Lie algebra with basic structure

$$[\![K_l, K_r]\!] = 0, \tag{3.33a}$$
$$[\![K_l, \tau_r^s]\!] = l\, K_{l+r-2}, \tag{3.33b}$$
$$[\![\tau_l^s, \tau_r^s]\!] = (l-r)\tau_{l+r-2}^s, \tag{3.33c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$ *and we set* $K_0 = \tau_0^s = 0$.

Corollary 3.2. *The master symmetry* σ_3 *acts as a flows generator via the following relation*

$$K_{s+1} = \frac{1}{s}\,[\![K_s, \sigma_3]\!], \tag{3.34a}$$
$$\sigma_{s+1} = \frac{1}{s-3}\,[\![\sigma_s, \sigma_3]\!], \quad (s \neq 3). \tag{3.34b}$$

with initial flows $K_1 = u_x$ *given in* (3.14) *and* σ_1, σ_4 *given in* (3.23).

We note that σ_3 and the recursive relation (3.34a) are the same as those given in [7], which means the KP hierarchy derived from Lax triad approach and the KP hierarchy generated from the recursive structure in Ref. [7] are same.

3.5 Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities

In the literature [7, 9–11] it has been proved that both isospectral and non-isospectral KP hierarchies have Hamiltonian structures and each equation in the isospectral KP hierarchy has two sets of conserved quantities. We list these main results in the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.2. *Each equation in the isospectral KP hierarchy has a Hamiltonian structure, i.e.*

$$u_{t_s} = K_s = \partial \frac{\delta H_s}{\delta u}, \tag{3.35}$$

where the gradient functions $\gamma_s = \frac{\delta H_s}{\delta u}$ *is defined by*

$$\gamma_s = \partial^{-1} K_s = \begin{cases} u, & s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{s-1} \operatorname{grad}(\gamma_{s-1}, \sigma_3), & s > 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

The equation (3.35) *has infinitely many conserved quantities*

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}(u, u), \quad H_s = \frac{1}{s-1}(\gamma_{s-1}, \sigma_3), \quad (s > 1). \tag{3.37}$$

The key identity that leads to the above theorem is

$$\sigma_3' \partial + \partial \sigma_3'^{*} = 0. \tag{3.38}$$

That means ∂ is a Noether operator of the non-isospectral equation $u_{t_3} = \sigma_3$.

Theorem 3.3. *(1). Each equation*

$$u_{t_s} = \sigma_s \tag{3.39}$$

in the non-isospectral KP hierarchy (3.22) *has a Hamiltonian structure*

$$u_{t_s} = \sigma_s = \partial \frac{\delta J_s}{\delta u}, \tag{3.40}$$

where the gradient function

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_s &= \frac{\delta J_s}{\delta u} = \partial^{-1} \sigma_s \\ &= \begin{cases} yu, & s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{s-4} \operatorname{grad}(\omega_{s-1}, \sigma_3), & s > 1, s \neq 4, \\ 2y\gamma_4 + x\gamma_3 + \frac{3}{4}u_x + \frac{3}{2}\partial^{-1}u^2 + \frac{3}{4}\partial^{-3}u_{yy} + u\partial^{-1}u - \frac{3}{2}\partial^{-1}u_y, & s = 4. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$J_s = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(yu, u), & s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{s-4}(\omega_{s-1}, \sigma_3), & s > 1, s \neq 4, \\ \int_0^1 (\omega_4(\lambda u), u) d\lambda, & s = 4. \end{cases} \tag{3.42}$$

(2). Hamiltonians $\{H_l\}$ *and* $\{J_r\}$ *generate a Lie algebra w.r.t. Poisson bracket* $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ *with basic structure*

$$\{H_l, H_r\} = 0, \tag{3.43a}$$

$$\{H_l, J_r\} = l\, H_{l+r-2}, \tag{3.43b}$$

$$\{J_l, J_r\} = (l - r) J_{l+r-2}, \tag{3.43c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$ and we set $H_0 = J_0 = 0$. Here the Poisson bracket is defined as

$$\{H, J\} = \Big(\frac{\delta H}{\delta u}, \partial \frac{\delta J}{\delta u}\Big).$$

(3). Each equation

$$u_{t_s} = K_s \tag{3.44}$$

in the isospectral KP hierarchy (3.13) *has two sets of conserved quantities*

$$\{H_l\}, \quad \{I_r^s = st_s H_{s+r-2} + J_r\} \tag{3.45}$$

and they generate a Lie algebra with basic structure

$$\{H_l, H_r\} = 0, \tag{3.46a}$$
$$\{H_l, I_r^s\} = l\, H_{l+r-2}, \tag{3.46b}$$
$$\{I_l^s, I_r^s\} = (l-r) I_{l+r-2}^s, \tag{3.46c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$ and we set $H_0 = I_0^s = 0$.

4 The DΔKP system

In this section, we will construct the DΔKP hierarchy and discuss their recursive structure, symmetries, Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities.

4.1 The DΔKP hierarchy

Let us start from the following linear triad

$$\bar{L}\phi = \eta\phi, \quad \eta_{\bar{t}_m} = 0, \tag{4.1a}$$
$$\phi_{\bar{x}} = \bar{A}_1\phi, \quad \bar{A}_1 = h^{-1}\Delta + \bar{u}_0, \tag{4.1b}$$
$$\phi_{\bar{t}_m} = \bar{A}_m\phi, \quad (m = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{4.1c}$$

and the compatibility condition reads

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{x}} = [\bar{A}_1, \bar{L}], \tag{4.2a}$$
$$\bar{L}_{\bar{t}_m} = [\bar{A}_m, \bar{L}], \tag{4.2b}$$
$$\bar{A}_{1,\bar{t}_m} - \bar{A}_{m,\bar{x}} + [\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_m] = 0, \tag{4.2c}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \cdots$, where $\bar{L}$ is the pseudo-difference operator (2.24), $\bar{A}_m = (\bar{L}^m)_+$ with the form

$$\bar{A}_m = h^{-m}\Delta^m + \sum_{j=1}^{m} h^{-(m-j)}\bar{a}_j\Delta^{m-j}, \quad \bar{A}_s|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h^{-m}\Delta^m. \tag{4.3}$$

Here $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = (\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1, \cdots)$. The first three of $\bar{A}_m$ are

$$\bar{A}_1 = h^{-1}\Delta + \bar{u}_0, \tag{4.4a}$$
$$\bar{A}_2 = h^{-2}\Delta^2 + h^{-1}(\Delta\bar{u}_0 + 2\bar{u}_0)\Delta + (h^{-1}\Delta\bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_0^2 + \Delta\bar{u}_1 + 2\bar{u}_1), \tag{4.4b}$$
$$\bar{A}_3 = h^{-3}\Delta^3 + h^{-2}\bar{a}_1\Delta^2 + h^{-1}\bar{a}_2\Delta + \bar{a}_3, \tag{4.4c}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_1 &= \Delta^2\bar{u}_0 + 3\Delta\bar{u}_0 + 3\bar{u}_0,\\
\bar{a}_2 &= 2h^{-1}\Delta^2\bar{u}_0 + 3h^{-1}\Delta\bar{u}_0 + 3\bar{u}_0^2 + \bar{u}_0\Delta\bar{u}_0 + \Delta\bar{u}_0^2 + 3\bar{u}_1 + 3\Delta\bar{u}_1 + \Delta^2\bar{u}_1,\\
\bar{a}_3 &= h^{-2}\Delta^2\bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_0^3 + h^{-1}\bar{u}_0\Delta\bar{u}_0 + h^{-1}\Delta\bar{u}_0^2 + 5\bar{u}_0\bar{u}_1 + (\Delta\bar{u}_0)\Delta\bar{u}_1 + 3\bar{u}_0\Delta\bar{u}_1\\
&\quad + \bar{u}_1\Delta\bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_1E^{-1}\bar{u}_0 + 2h^{-1}\Delta^2\bar{u}_1 + 3h^{-1}\Delta\bar{u}_1 + 3\bar{u}_2 + 3\Delta\bar{u}_2 + \Delta^2\bar{u}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.2a) yields

$$\bar{u}_{0,\bar{x}} = \Delta\bar{u}_1, \tag{4.6a}$$

$$\bar{u}_{1,\bar{x}} = h^{-1}\Delta\bar{u}_1 + \Delta\bar{u}_2 + \bar{u}_0\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_1E^{-1}\bar{u}_0, \tag{4.6b}$$

$$\cdots\cdots,$$

which will be used to express $\bar{u}_j(j>0)$ by $\bar{u}_0$, i.e.

$$\bar{u}_1 = \Delta^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_0}{\partial\bar{x}}, \tag{4.7a}$$

$$\bar{u}_2 = \Delta^{-2}\frac{\partial^2\bar{u}_0}{\partial\bar{x}^2} - h^{-1}\Delta^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_0}{\partial\bar{x}} - \Delta^{-1}\left(\bar{u}_0\Delta^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_0}{\partial\bar{x}}\right) + \Delta^{-1}\left(\left(\Delta^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_0}{\partial\bar{x}}\right)E^{-1}\bar{u}_0\right), \tag{4.7b}$$

$$\cdots\cdots.$$

Equation (4.2b) actually plays a role to determine the operator $\bar{A}_m$. In fact, if starting from the assumption (4.3) with unknown $\{\bar{a}_j\}$, then (4.2b) uniquely determines $\bar{A}_m = (\bar{L}^m)_+$. With $\{\bar{A}_m\}$ ready, equation (4.2c) provides the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u}$)

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_m} = \bar{K}_m = \bar{A}_{m,\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_m], \quad m = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{4.8}$$

The first three equations are

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_1} = \bar{K}_1 = \bar{u}_{\bar{x}}, \tag{4.9a}$$

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_2} = \bar{K}_2 = (1 + 2\Delta^{-1})\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - 2h^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 2\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}, \tag{4.9b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_3} = \bar{K}_3 &= (3\Delta^{-2} + 3\Delta^{-1} + 1)\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}\bar{x}} + 3\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}^2 + 3\bar{u}\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}}\\
&\quad - 6h^{-1}\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} + 3h^{-2}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 3\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 3\Delta^{-1}(\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}})\\
&\quad + 3\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - 3h^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} + 3\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}^2 + 3\bar{u}^2\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} - 6h^{-1}\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9c}$$

in which (4.9b), i.e. (1.3), is first derived in [12] from a discrete Sato's approach and is referred to as the DΔKP equation.

4.2 The non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy

For the non-isospectral case, we set

$$\eta_{\bar{t}_m} = h\eta^m + \eta^{m-1}, \tag{4.10}$$

and assume that

$$\bar{B}_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m} h^{-(m-j)}\bar{b}_j\Delta^{m-j} \tag{4.11}$$

with unknowns $\{\bar{b}_j\}$. Consider the Lax triad

$$\bar{L}\phi = \eta\phi, \tag{4.12a}$$
$$\phi_{\bar{x}} = \bar{A}_1\phi, \tag{4.12b}$$
$$\phi_{\bar{t}_m} = \bar{B}_m\phi, \quad (m = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{4.12c}$$

together with (4.10). The compatibility reads

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{x}} = [\bar{A}_1, \bar{L}], \tag{4.13a}$$
$$\bar{L}_{\bar{t}_m} = [\bar{B}_m, \bar{L}] + h\bar{L}^m + \bar{L}^{m-1}, \tag{4.13b}$$
$$\bar{A}_{1,\bar{t}_m} - \bar{B}_{m,\bar{x}} + [\bar{A}_1, \bar{B}_m] = 0. \tag{4.13c}$$

Looking at (4.13b) and (4.13c) asymptotically, i.e. (4.13b)$|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}}$ and (4.13c)$|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}}$, from them one can find

$$(\Delta\bar{b}_0)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = 0, \quad (\Delta\bar{b}_1)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h, \quad (\Delta\bar{b}_j)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = 0, \ (j = 2, 3, \cdots, m);$$
$$(\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{b}_0)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h, \quad (\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{b}_1)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = 1, \quad (\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{b}_j)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = 0, \ (j = 2, 3, \cdots, m).$$

This gives the necessary asymptotic condition for $\bar{B}_m$:[3]

$$\bar{B}_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h^{-(m-1)}\bar{x}\Delta^m + h^{-(m-1)}(\bar{x} + hn)\Delta^{m-1}. \tag{4.14}$$

Then, with this condition, $\bar{B}_m$ can uniquely be determined by (4.13b) and here we give the first three of them:

$$\bar{B}_1 = h\bar{x}\bar{A}_1 + \bar{x} + hn, \tag{4.15a}$$
$$\bar{B}_2 = h\bar{x}\bar{A}_2 + (\bar{x} + hn)\bar{A}_1 + h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_0, \tag{4.15b}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\bar{B}_3 = {} & h\bar{x}\bar{A}_3 + (\bar{x} + hn)\bar{A}_2 + \Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_0\Delta + h\bar{u}_0\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_0 \\ & + 2h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_1 - \Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_0 + h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_0^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.15c}$$

where $\bar{A}_j = (\bar{L}^j)_+$.

Now, (4.13a) provides transform relation as same as (4.7), and (4.13c) provides the non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u}$)

$$\bar{u}_{t_m} = \bar{\sigma}_m = \bar{B}_{m,\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{B}_m], \tag{4.16}$$

i.e.

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_1} = \bar{\sigma}_1 = h\bar{x}\bar{K}_1 + h\bar{u}, \tag{4.17a}$$
$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_2} = \bar{\sigma}_2 = h\bar{x}\bar{K}_2 + (\bar{x} + hn)\bar{K}_1 + h\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 3h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + h\bar{u}^2 - \bar{u}, \tag{4.17b}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_3} = \bar{\sigma}_3 = {} & h\bar{x}\bar{K}_3 + (\bar{x} + hn)\bar{K}_2 + 5h\Delta^{-2}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - 6\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 5h\Delta^{-1}(\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}) \\ & + h\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}\Delta^{-1}\bar{u} + 4h\bar{u}\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} - 2\bar{u}^2 + h^{-1}\bar{u} + h\bar{u}^3 + 3h\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} \\ & + 3h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} + h\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - 2\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}, \\ & \cdots\cdots,\end{aligned} \tag{4.17c}$$

where $\{\bar{K}_j\}$ are the isospectral DΔKP flows defined in (4.8).

$\{\bar{K}_m\}$ and $\{\bar{\sigma}_m\}$ are respectively called the isospectral and non-isospectral DΔKP flows. For them we have

[3] In [20] the asymptotic condition for B_m is $B_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h^{-(m-1)}\bar{x}\Delta^m + h^{-(m-2)}n\Delta^{m-1}$. We note that this is not sufficient due to missing (4.12b) in the Lax triad (4.12).

Proposition 4.1. *The isospectral and non-isospectral DΔKP flows $\{\bar{K}_s\}$ and $\{\bar{\sigma}_s\}$ can be expressed through the following zero curvature representations together with asymptotic conditions,*

$$\bar{K}_s = \bar{A}_{s,\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_s], \quad \bar{K}_s|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0, \quad \bar{A}_s|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h^{-s}\Delta^s, \tag{4.18a}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_s = \bar{B}_{s,\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{B}_s], \quad \bar{\sigma}_s|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0, \quad \bar{B}_s|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{0}} = h^{-(s-1)}\bar{x}\Delta^s + h^{-(s-1)}(\bar{x}+hn)\Delta^{s-1}, \tag{4.18b}$$

for $s = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Similar to Proposition 3.2, we have

Proposition 4.2. *The isospectral DΔKP flows $\{\bar{K}_s\}$ defined by* (4.18a) *can be expressed in terms of the pseudo-difference operator $\bar{L}$ as*

$$\bar{K}_s = \Delta \operatorname*{Res}_{\Delta} \bar{L}^s. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof is skipped.

4.3 Algebra of flows, recursive structure and symmetries

The proof for the results of this subsection is similar to the continuous case (see Sec.3.4). We will just list these results without giving proofs.

Lemma 4.1. *For the function $\bar{X} = \bar{X}(\bar{u}) \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and difference operator*

$$\bar{N} = \bar{a}_0\Delta^m + \bar{a}_1\Delta^{m-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_{m-1}\Delta + \bar{a}_m, \quad \bar{N}|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0$$

living on $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$, the equation

$$\bar{X} - \bar{N}_{\bar{x}} + [\bar{A}_1, \bar{N}] = 0 \tag{4.20}$$

only admits zero solution $\bar{X} = 0,\ \bar{N} = 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that*

$$\langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{A}_r\rangle = \bar{A}_l'[\bar{K}_r] - \bar{A}_r'[\bar{K}_l] + [\bar{A}_l, \bar{A}_r], \tag{4.21a}$$

$$\langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle = \bar{A}_l'[\bar{\sigma}_r] - \bar{B}_r'[\bar{K}_l] + [\bar{A}_l, \bar{B}_r], \tag{4.21b}$$

$$\langle \bar{B}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle = \bar{B}_l'[\bar{\sigma}_r] - \bar{B}_r'[\bar{\sigma}_l] + [\bar{B}_l, \bar{B}_r]. \tag{4.21c}$$

Then we have

$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{K}_r]\!] = \langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{A}_r\rangle_{\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{A}_r\rangle], \tag{4.22a}$$

$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = \langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle_{\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle], \tag{4.22b}$$

$$[\![\bar{\sigma}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = \langle \bar{B}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle_{\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \langle \bar{B}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle], \tag{4.22c}$$

and

$$\langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{A}_r\rangle|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0, \tag{4.23a}$$

$$\langle \bar{A}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle|_{\bar{u}=0} = h^{-(l+r-2)}\, l\,(\Delta^{l+r-1} + \Delta^{l+r-2}), \tag{4.23b}$$

$$\langle \bar{B}_l, \bar{B}_r\rangle|_{\bar{u}=0} = h^{-(l+r-3)}(l-r)\big(\bar{x}\Delta^{l+r-1} + (2\bar{x}+hn)\Delta^{l+r-2} + (\bar{x}+hn)\Delta^{l+r-3}\big). \tag{4.23c}$$

Theorem 4.1. *The flows* $\{\bar{K}_l\}$ *and* $\{\bar{\sigma}_r\}$ *span a Lie algebra* $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ *with basic structure*

$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{K}_r]\!] = 0, \tag{4.24a}$$
$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = l\,(h\bar{K}_{l+r-1} + \bar{K}_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.24b}$$
$$[\![\bar{\sigma}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = (l-r)(h\bar{\sigma}_{l+r-1} + \bar{\sigma}_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.24c}$$

where $l, r \geq 1$ *and we set* $\bar{K}_0 = \bar{\sigma}_0 = 0$.

Corollary 4.1. *Each equation*

$$\bar{u}_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s \tag{4.25}$$

in the isospectral DΔ*KP hierarchy* (4.8) *possesses two sets of symmetries*

$$\{\bar{K}_l\}, \quad \{\bar{\tau}^s_r = s\bar{t}_s(h\bar{K}_{s+r-1} + \bar{K}_{s+r-2}) + \bar{\sigma}_r\}, \tag{4.26}$$

which generate a Lie algebra with basic structure

$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{K}_r]\!] = 0, \tag{4.27a}$$
$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\tau}^s_r]\!] = l\,(h\bar{K}_{l+r-1} + \bar{K}_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.27b}$$
$$[\![\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\tau}^s_r]\!] = (l-r)(h\bar{\tau}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{\tau}^s_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.27c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$ *and we set* $\bar{K}_0 = \bar{\tau}^s_0 = 0$.

Corollary 4.2. $\bar{\sigma}_2$ *is a master symmetry and acts as a flows generator via the following relation*

$$\bar{K}_{s+1} = \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}[\![\bar{K}_s, \bar{\sigma}_2]\!] - \bar{K}_s\right), \tag{4.28a}$$
$$\bar{\sigma}_{s+1} = \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-2}[\![\bar{\sigma}_s, \bar{\sigma}_2]\!] - \bar{\sigma}_s\right), \quad (s \neq 2), \tag{4.28b}$$

with initial flows $\bar{K}_1 = \bar{u}_{\bar{x}}$ *given in* (4.9) *and* $\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_3$ *given in* (4.17).

4.4 Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities

For (1+1)-dimensional Lax integrable systems, they usually have their own recursion operators that play crucial roles in investigating integrable characteristics (cf. [23,31–33]). For the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy, so far there is no explicit recursion operator but their recursive structure (4.28a) will play a similar role. We will show that each member in the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.8) and non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.16) has a Hamiltonian structure, and the Hamiltonians lead to two sets of conserved quantities for the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.8). Let us prove this step by step.

Lemma 4.3. *The following formula*

$$\mathrm{grad}(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \bar{\gamma}'^{*}\bar{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma}'^{*}\bar{\gamma} \tag{4.29}$$

holds for any $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\sigma} \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}$.

In fact, one can verify that

$$(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\sigma})'[\bar{g}] = (\bar{\gamma}'[\bar{g}], \bar{\sigma}) + (\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\sigma}'[\bar{g}]) = (\bar{\gamma}'^{*}\bar{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma}'^{*}\bar{\gamma}, \bar{g}), \quad \forall \bar{g} = \bar{g}(\bar{u}) \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *$\partial_{\bar{x}}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_2$ satisfy*

$$\bar{\sigma}_2'\partial_{\bar{x}} + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\sigma}_2'^{*} = 0, \tag{4.30}$$

i.e. $\partial_{\bar{x}}$ is a Noether operator of the master symmetry equation $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_2} = \bar{\sigma}_2$.

This identity is important for getting Hamiltonian structures for both isospectral and non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchies. The proof of (4.30) will lead to lengthy but direct calculation and here we skip it.

Now we arrive at the first main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 4.2. *Each equation*

$$\bar{u}_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s \tag{4.31}$$

in the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.8) *has a Hamiltonian structure*

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_s = \partial_{\bar{x}}\frac{\delta \bar{H}_s}{\delta \bar{u}}, \tag{4.32}$$

where

$$\frac{\delta \bar{H}_s}{\delta \bar{u}} = \bar{\gamma}_s = \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{K}_s. \tag{4.33}$$

$\bar{\gamma}_s$ can also be determined through

$$\bar{\gamma}_s = \begin{cases} \bar{u}, & s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{h}\big(\frac{1}{s-1}\,\mathrm{grad}(\bar{\gamma}_{s-1}, \bar{\sigma}_2) - \bar{\gamma}_{s-1}\big), & s > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.34}$$

The Hamiltonian $\bar{H}_s$ can be given by

$$\bar{H}_s = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\bar{u}, \bar{u}), & s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{h}\big(\frac{1}{s-1}(\bar{\gamma}_{s-1}, \bar{\sigma}_2) - \bar{H}_{s-1}\big), & s > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.35}$$

Proof. Obviously, $\partial_{\bar{x}}$ is an implectic operator. Next we need to prove $\bar{\gamma}_s$ is a gradient function. Let us do that by means of mathematical inductive method. Obviously, $\gamma_1 = \bar{u}$ is a gradient function. We suppose $\bar{\gamma}_s$ is a gradient function, i.e. $\bar{\gamma}_s' = \bar{\gamma}_s'^{*}$. Then, from the recursive relation (4.28a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\gamma}_{s+1} &= \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{K}_{s+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}[\![\bar{K}_s, \bar{\sigma}_2]\!] - \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{K}_s\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\big((\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_s)'[\bar{\sigma}_2] - \bar{\sigma}_2'[\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_s]\big) - \bar{\gamma}_s\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_s'\bar{\sigma}_2 - \bar{\sigma}_2'\partial_{\bar{x}}\,\bar{\gamma}_s) - \bar{\gamma}_s\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\gamma}_{s+1} &= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\,\bar{\gamma}_s'\bar{\sigma}_2 + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\sigma}_2'^{*}\,\bar{\gamma}_s) - \bar{\gamma}_s\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}\,(\bar{\gamma}_s'\bar{\sigma}_2 + \bar{\sigma}_2'^{*}\,\bar{\gamma}_s) - \bar{\gamma}_s\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s}\,\mathrm{grad}(\bar{\gamma}_s, \bar{\sigma}_2) - \bar{\gamma}_s\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also made use of Lemma 4.3. This means if $\bar{\gamma}_s$ is a gradient function, so is $\bar{\gamma}_{s+1}$. For the Hamiltonians, $\bar{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{u}, \bar{u})$ is derived from $\bar{\gamma}_1 = \bar{u}$ and Proposition 2.1. $\bar{H}_s$ for $s > 1$ follows from the recursive relation of $\bar{\gamma}_s$ given in (4.34). We complete the proof. □

The non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.16) also have their own Hamiltonian structures.

Theorem 4.3. *Each equation*

$$\bar{u}_{t_s} = \bar{\sigma}_s \tag{4.36}$$

in the non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.16) *has a Hamiltonian structure*

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}} = \bar{\sigma}_s = \partial_{\bar{x}} \frac{\delta \bar{J}_s}{\delta \bar{u}}, \tag{4.37}$$

where

$$\frac{\delta \bar{J}_s}{\delta \bar{u}} = \bar{\omega}_s = \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1} \bar{\sigma}_s. \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\bar{\omega}_1 = h\bar{x}\bar{u}, \tag{4.39a}$$

$$\bar{\omega}_2 = h\bar{x}\bar{\gamma}_2 + (\bar{x} + hn)\bar{\gamma}_1 + h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}, \tag{4.39b}$$

$$\bar{\omega}_3 = h\bar{x}\bar{\gamma}_3 + (\bar{x} + hn)\bar{\gamma}_2 + 2h\Delta^{-2}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + h\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}^2 + h\bar{u}\Delta^{-1}\bar{u} - 2\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}, \tag{4.39c}$$

$$\bar{\omega}_s = \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-3}\,\mathrm{grad}(\bar{\omega}_{s-1}, \bar{\sigma}_2) - \bar{\omega}_{s-1}\right), \quad s = 4, 5, \cdots. \tag{4.39d}$$

The Hamiltonian $\bar{J}_s$ can be given by

$$\bar{J}_s = \begin{cases} \frac{h}{2}(\bar{x}\bar{u}, \bar{u}), & s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{h}\big(\frac{1}{s-3}(\bar{\omega}_{s-1}, \bar{\sigma}_2) - \bar{J}_{s-1}\big), & s > 1,\ s \neq 3, \\ \int_0^1 (\bar{\omega}_3(\lambda\bar{u}), \bar{u}) d\lambda, & s = 3. \end{cases} \tag{4.40}$$

Proof. The proof is quite similar to the previous theorem. Here we need to start from the recursive relation (4.28b). We note that $\bar{\sigma}_3$ can not be derived from (4.28b). By direct verification we can find $\bar{\omega}_s' = \bar{\omega}_s'^*$ holds for $s = 1, 2, 3$. Now we suppose that $\bar{\omega}_s$ is a gradient function. Then, if $s > 2$, from the recursive relation (4.28b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\omega}_{s+1} = \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{\sigma}_{s+1} &= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-2}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}[\![\bar{\sigma}_s, \bar{\sigma}_2]\!] - \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{\sigma}_s\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-2}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\,\bar{\omega}_s'\bar{\sigma}_2 - \bar{\sigma}_2'\partial_{\bar{x}}\,\bar{\omega}_s) - \bar{\omega}_s\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-2}\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\,\bar{\omega}_s'\bar{\sigma}_2 + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\sigma}_2'^*\,\bar{\omega}_s) - \bar{\omega}_s\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-2}\,(\bar{\omega}_s'\bar{\sigma}_2 + \bar{\sigma}_2'^*\,\bar{\omega}_s) - \bar{\omega}_s\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{s-2}\,\mathrm{grad}(\bar{\omega}_s, \bar{\sigma}_2) - \bar{\omega}_s\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.3. Since $\bar{\omega}_s$ is a gradient function, so is $\bar{\omega}_{s+1}$, and therefore (4.37) holds. The Hamiltonian $\bar{J}_s$ is defined following Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 4.3. □

Corollary 4.3. *$\partial_{\bar{x}}$ is a Noether operator for both isospectral DΔKP hierarchy* (4.8) *and non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy* (4.16).

Proof. Consider an arbitrary isospectral equation

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_s \tag{4.41}$$

in (4.8). We only need to prove

$$\bar{K}'_s\partial_{\bar{x}} + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{K}'^*_s = 0. \tag{4.42}$$

In fact,

$$\bar{K}'_s\partial_{\bar{x}} + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{K}'^*_s = (\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_s)'\partial_{\bar{x}} + \partial_{\bar{x}}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_s)'^* = \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}'_s\partial_{\bar{x}} - \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}'^*_s\partial_{\bar{x}},$$

which is zero due to $\bar{\gamma}_s$ being a gradient function, i.e. $\bar{\gamma}'_s = \bar{\gamma}'^*_s$. In a same way and noting that $\bar{\omega}'_s = \bar{\omega}'^*_s$, we can prove that

$$\bar{\sigma}'_s\partial_{\bar{x}} + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\sigma}'^*_s = 0, \tag{4.43}$$

which means $\partial_{\bar{x}}$ is also a Noether operator of the isospectral equation $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{\sigma}_s$. □

Now we reach to the final theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Each equation*

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_s \tag{4.44}$$

in the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.8) *has two sets of conserved quantities*

$$\{\bar{H}_l\}, \quad \{\bar{I}^s_r = s\bar{t}_s(h\bar{H}_{s+r-1} + \bar{H}_{s+r-2}) + \bar{J}_r\}, \tag{4.45}$$

where $\bar{H}_l$ and $\bar{J}_r$ are defined in (4.35) *and* (4.40)*, respectively. They generate a Lie algebra w.r.t. Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ with basic structure*

$$\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{H}_r\} = 0, \tag{4.46a}$$
$$\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{I}^s_r\} = l\,(h\bar{H}_{l+r-1} + \bar{H}_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.46b}$$
$$\{\bar{I}^s_l, \bar{I}^s_r\} = (l-r)(h\bar{I}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{I}^s_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.46c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$ and we set $\bar{H}_0 = \bar{I}^s_0 = 0$.

Proof. First, let us prove that both $\bar{H}_l$ and $\bar{I}^s_r$ are conserved quantities of equation (4.44). Noting that

$$\{\bar{K}_l\}, \quad \{\bar{\tau}^s_r = s\bar{t}_s(h\bar{K}_{s+r-1} + \bar{K}_{s+r-2}) + \bar{\sigma}_r\}$$

are symmetries of equation (4.44), and $\partial_{\bar{x}}$ is a Noether operator of (4.44) (i.e. $\partial^{-1}_{\bar{x}}$ maps symmetries to conserved covariants for (4.44)),

$$\{\bar{\gamma}_l = \partial^{-1}_{\bar{x}}\bar{K}_l\}, \quad \{\bar{\vartheta}^s_r = \partial^{-1}_{\bar{x}}\bar{\tau}^s_r = s\bar{t}_s(h\bar{\gamma}_{s+r-1} + \bar{\gamma}_{s+r-2}) + \bar{\omega}_r\} \tag{4.47}$$

are conserved covariants of equation (4.44). Since both $\{\bar{\gamma}_l\}$ and $\{\bar{\vartheta}^s_r\}$ are gradient functions and their potentials are respectively $\{\bar{H}_l\}$ and $\{\bar{I}^s_r\}$ defined in (4.45), both $\{\bar{H}_l\}$ and $\{\bar{I}^s_r\}$ are conserved quantities of equation (4.44) thanks to Proposition 2.3. In addition, obviously, $\bar{H}_l$ is a conserved quantity of the whole isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.8) because $\bar{K}_l$ is a symmetry of the whole isospectral hierarchy.

Next, Let us prove the following relation:

$$\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{H}_r\} = 0, \tag{4.48a}$$
$$\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{J}_r\} = l\,(h\bar{H}_{l+r-1} + \bar{H}_{l+r-2}), \tag{4.48b}$$
$$\{\bar{J}_l, \bar{J}_r\} = (l-r)(h\bar{J}_{l+r-1} + \bar{J}_{l+r-2}). \tag{4.48c}$$

In fact,

$$\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{H}_r\} = \Big(\frac{\delta \bar{H}_l}{\delta \bar{u}},\, \partial_{\bar{x}} \frac{\delta \bar{H}_r}{\delta \bar{u}}\Big) = (\bar{\gamma}_l,\, \partial_{\bar{x}} \bar{\gamma}_r) = (\bar{\gamma}_l, \bar{K}_r) = \bar{H}_l'[\bar{K}_r] = \bar{H}_l'[\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_r}] = \frac{d\bar{H}_l}{d\bar{t}_r}.$$

Since $\bar{H}_l$ is a conserved quantity of the whole isospectral DΔKP hierarchy, we know that $\frac{d\bar{H}_l}{d\bar{t}_r} = 0$ and consequently $\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{H}_r\} = 0$. This also means the Hamiltonians $\{\bar{H}_l\}$ of equation (4.44) are involutive w.r.t. the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. To derive (4.48b), let us look at the relation

$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = l\,(h\bar{K}_{l+r-1} + \bar{K}_{l+r-2}).$$

On one hand,

$$\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_l'\bar{\sigma}_r - \bar{\sigma}_r'\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_l) = \bar{\gamma}_l'^{*}\bar{\sigma}_r + \bar{\sigma}_r'^{*}\bar{\gamma}_l = \mathrm{grad}(\bar{\gamma}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r),$$

where we have made use of $\bar{\gamma}_l' = \bar{\gamma}_l'^{*}$, (4.43) and Lemma 4.3. On the other hand,

$$l\,\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(h\bar{K}_{l+r-1} + \bar{K}_{l+r-2}) = l\,(h\bar{\gamma}_{l+r-1} + \bar{\gamma}_{l+r-2}).$$

Thus we have

$$(\bar{\gamma}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r) = l\,(h\bar{H}_{l+r-1} + \bar{H}_{l+r-2}).$$

Meanwhile, noting that

$$\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{J}_r\} = \Big(\frac{\delta \bar{H}_l}{\delta \bar{u}},\, \partial_{\bar{x}} \frac{\delta \bar{J}_r}{\delta \bar{u}}\Big) = (\bar{\gamma}_l,\, \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\omega}_r) = (\bar{\gamma}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r),$$

we immediately get (4.48b). (4.48c) can be proved similarly. From the relation

$$[\![\bar{\sigma}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = (l-r)(h\bar{\sigma}_{l+r-1} + \bar{\sigma}_{l+r-2})$$

we have

$$(\bar{\omega}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r) = (l-r)(h\bar{J}_{l+r-1} + \bar{J}_{l+r-2}).$$

Besides,

$$\{\bar{J}_l, \bar{J}_r\} = \Big(\frac{\delta \bar{J}_l}{\delta \bar{u}},\, \partial_{\bar{x}} \frac{\delta \bar{J}_r}{\delta \bar{u}}\Big) = (\bar{\omega}_l,\, \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\omega}_r) = (\bar{\omega}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r).$$

A combination of the above two formulae yields (4.48c).

In the final step, the relation (4.46) can easily be verified by using the algebra (4.48). Obviously, (4.24), (4.27), (4.46) and (4.48) are of same structures. We complete the proof. □

5 Continuum limits

5.1 Backgrounds

Let us write the KP equation and the DΔKP equation below,

$$u_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-1}u_{yy}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_2} = (1 + 2\Delta^{-1})\bar{u}_{\bar{x}\bar{x}} - 2h^{-1}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} + 2\bar{u}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Following Miwa's transformation, or in practice, comparing exponential parts in the solution of these two equations, one can introduce coordinates relation

$$x = \bar{x} + \tau, \quad y = \bar{t}_2 - \frac{h}{2}\tau, \quad t_3 = \frac{h^2}{3}\tau, \quad \text{with } \tau = nh. \tag{5.3}$$

The continuum limit is then conducted through replacing $\bar{u}$ by hu and taking $n \to \infty$ and $h \to 0$ simultaneously. The result is that the KP equation (5.1) appears as the leading term of the DΔKP equation (5.2). Similar relationship exists in non-commutative case [34].

However, the continuum limit (5.3) does not fit the whole DΔKP hierarchy. It also breaks both basic algebraic structures and the Hamiltonian structure of the DΔKP equation. In fact, to keep the Hamiltonian structure in a continuum limit, one at least needs $\bar{t}_m \propto t_m$. We need a new scheme for continuum limits.

5.2 Plan for continuum limit

Our plan for continuum limit is as following,

- $n \to \infty$ and $h \to 0$ simultaneously such that nh is finite.
- Introduce auxiliary continuous variable[4]

  $$\tau = nh, \tag{5.4}$$

  and thus, function $f(n + j)$ is mapped to $f(\tau + jh)$.
- Define coordinates relation

  $$x = \bar{x} + \tau, \quad y = -\frac{1}{2}h\tau, \quad t_m = \bar{t}_m, \tag{5.5}$$

  based on which one has

  $$\partial_{\bar{x}} = \partial_x, \quad \partial_\tau = \partial_x - \frac{1}{2}h\partial_y, \quad \partial_{\bar{t}_m} = \partial_{t_m}. \tag{5.6}$$

- Define functions relation

  $$\bar{u}_0(n, \bar{x}, \bar{t}_m) = \bar{u}(n, \bar{x}, \bar{t}_m) = h\, u(x, y, t_m), \tag{5.7a}$$

  $$\bar{u}_j(n, \bar{x}, \bar{t}_m) = u_{j+1}(x, y, t_m), \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{5.7b}$$

[4] In fact, we can take $\tau = \tau_0 + nh$ with constant τ_0. Here we take $\tau_0 = 0$ for convenience and without loss of generality.

5.3 Pseudo-difference operator and DΔKP equation

Under the continuum limit plan given in the above subsection, the pseudo-difference operator $\bar{L}$ and pseudo-differential operator L satisfy

$$\bar{L} = L + O(h). \tag{5.8}$$

In fact, acting Δ on a test function $f(n)$ and making use of Taylor expansion, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= h\partial_\tau + \frac{1}{2!}h^2\partial_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{3!}h^3\partial_\tau^3 + O(h^4)\\ &= h\partial_x + \frac{h^2}{2}(\partial_x^2 - \partial_y) + \frac{h^3}{6}(\partial_x^3 - 3\partial_x\partial_y) + O(h^4),\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

and further,

$$\Delta^{-1} = h^{-1}\partial_x^{-1} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^{-2}\partial_y - \frac{1}{2}\right) + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^{-3}\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{12}\partial_x\right) + O(h^2), \tag{5.10a}$$

$$\Delta^{-2} = h^{-2}\partial_x^{-2} + h^{-1}\left(\partial_x^{-3}\partial_y - \partial_x^{-1}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-4}\partial_y^2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^{-2}\partial_y - \frac{5}{12}\right) + O(h), \tag{5.10b}$$

$$\cdots\cdots .$$

Thus it is clear that

$$h^{-j}\Delta^j = \partial_x^j + O(h), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.11}$$

Making use of this together with the relation (5.7) one immediately reaches to (5.8).

Let us have a look at some lower order flows. In the continuum limit designed in Sec.5.2, we find

$$\bar{K}_1 = hu_x = h\,K_1, \tag{5.12a}$$

$$\bar{K}_2 = hu_y + O(h^2) = h\,K_2 + O(h^2), \tag{5.12b}$$

$$\bar{K}_3 = h\left(\frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-1}u_{yy}\right) + O(h^2) = h\,K_3 + O(h^2). \tag{5.12c}$$

It is not the so-called DΔKP equation $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_2} = \bar{K}_2$ but the next member in the DΔKP hierarchy, i.e. $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_3} = \bar{K}_3$ that goes to the continuous KP equation $u_{t_3} = K_3$ in our continuum limit.

For the first three non-isospectral flows, we find

$$\bar{\sigma}_1 = h(2yK_1) + O(h) = h\,\sigma_1 + O(h^2), \tag{5.13a}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_2 = h(2yK_2 + xK_1 + 2u) + O(h^2) = h\,\sigma_2 + O(h^2), \tag{5.13b}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_3 = h(2yK_3 + xK_2 + 2\partial_x^{-1}u_y - u_x) + O(h^2) = h\,\sigma_3 + O(h^2). \tag{5.13c}$$

Let us, taking (5.13a) as an example, explain how the variable y appears. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\sigma}_1 = h\bar{x}\bar{u}_{\bar{x}} &= h^2(x-\tau)u_x = h^2xu_x + 2hyu_x\\ &= h\,\sigma_1 + O(h^2).\end{aligned}$$

In brief, we have seen that, in our continuum limit, the first three DΔKP isospectral and non-isospectral flows go to their continuous counterparts and the leading terms are of $O(h)$.

5.4 Degrees

In order to investigate the continuum limit of the whole DΔKP hierarchies together with their integrable properties, let us introduce *degrees* for functions (cf. [33]).

Definition 5.1. Under the plan described in Sec.5.2, a function $\bar{f}(n, \bar{x}, \bar{t}_m)$ (or an operator $\bar{P}(\bar{u}, \Delta)$) can be expanded into a series in terms of h, where the order of the leading term is called the *degree* of $\bar{f}(n, \bar{x}, \bar{t}_m)$, denoted by deg $\bar{f}$.

By this definition and previous discussion, we have

$$\deg \bar{L} = 0, \tag{5.14a}$$

$$\deg \Delta^j = j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5.14b}$$

$$\deg \bar{u} = 1, \quad \deg \bar{u}_j = 0, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{5.14c}$$

and

$$\deg \bar{K}_j = 1, \quad \deg \bar{\sigma}_j = 1, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Hereafter in this paper, by continuum limit we mean the one we designed in Sec.5.2, without any confusion. Let us first give some properties about degrees of functions and operations.

Proposition 5.1. *For the functions $\bar{f}(\bar{u})$, $\bar{g}(\bar{u})$, it holds that*

$$\deg \bar{f} \cdot \bar{g} = \deg \bar{f} + \deg \bar{g}, \tag{5.15a}$$

$$\deg (\bar{f} + \bar{g}) \geq \min\{\deg \bar{f}, \deg \bar{g}\}. \tag{5.15b}$$

Proposition 5.2. *For the functions $\bar{f}(\bar{u})$ and $\bar{g}(\bar{u})$ satisfying $\bar{f}(\bar{u})|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0$ and $\bar{g}(\bar{u})|_{\bar{u}=0} = 0$, suppose that in continuum limit*

$$\bar{f}(\bar{u}) = f(u)h^i + O(h^{i+1}), \quad \bar{g}(\bar{u}) = g(u)h^j + O(h^{j+1}),$$

i.e.

$$\deg \bar{f} = i, \quad \deg \bar{g} = j.$$

It then holds that

$$[\![\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})]\!]_{\bar{u}} = [\![f(u), g(u)]\!]_u \, h^{i+j-1} + O(h^{i+j}), \tag{5.16}$$

$$\deg [\![\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})]\!]_{\bar{u}} \geq \deg \bar{f}(\bar{u}) + \deg \bar{g}(\bar{u}) - 1. \tag{5.17}$$

Here the subscripts $\bar{u}$ and u indicate the Lie brackets $\{[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]\}$ are defined based on the Gâteaux derivatives w.r.t. $\bar{u}$ and u, respectively.

Proof. Noting that $\bar{u} = hu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}'[\bar{g}] &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \bar{f}(\bar{u} + \varepsilon \bar{g}(\bar{u}))|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \bar{f}(hu + \varepsilon(g(u)h^j + O(h^{j+1})))|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \bar{f}(h(u + \varepsilon(g(u)h^{j-1} + O(h^j))))|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big(f(u + \varepsilon(g(u)h^{j-1} + O(h^j)))h^i + \cdots \Big)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
&= f'[g]h^{i+j-1} + O(h^{i+j}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18a}$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{g}'[\bar{f}] = g'[f]h^{i+j-1} + O(h^{i+j}), \tag{5.18b}$$

which, together with (5.18a), yields (5.16). (5.17) is correct in light of (5.15b). □

Proposition 5.3. *If in continuum limit,*

$$\bar{f}(\bar{u}) = f(u)h^i + O(h^{i+1}), \quad \bar{g}(\bar{u}) = g(u)h^j + O(h^{j+1}),$$

then

$$(\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})) = (f(u), g(u))h^{i+j} + O(h^{i+j+1}), \tag{5.19a}$$

$$\deg(\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})) = \deg \bar{f}(\bar{u}) + \deg \bar{g}(\bar{u}). \tag{5.19b}$$

Here on l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (5.19a) *the inner products are defined as* (2.25) *for semi-discrete case and* (2.4) *for continuous case, respectively. This proposition also means that the degree of the semi-discrete inner product* (2.25) *is zero.*

Proof. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})) &= \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{f}(\bar{u})\bar{g}(\bar{u})\, \mathrm{d}\bar{x} \\
&= \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (f(u)g(u)h^{i+j} + O(h^{i+j+1}))\, \mathrm{d}\bar{x} \\
&= \frac{h}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (f(u)g(u)h^{i+j} + O(h^{i+j+1}))\, d\bar{x}\mathrm{d}\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, from the coordinates transformation (5.5) we have the Jacobian

$$J = \frac{\partial(\bar{x}, \tau)}{\partial(x, y)} = -\frac{2}{h}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{f}(\bar{u}), \bar{g}(\bar{u})) &= \frac{h}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (f(u)g(u)h^{i+j} + O(h^{i+j+1}))\, |J| \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (f(u)g(u)h^{i+j} + O(h^{i+j+1}))\, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\
&= (f(u), g(u))h^{i+j} + O(h^{i+j+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

Proposition 5.4. *In continuum limit if*

$$\bar{\gamma}(\bar{u}) = \frac{\delta \bar{H}(\bar{u})}{\delta \bar{u}} = \gamma(u)h^i + O(h^{i+1}),$$

then we have

$$\deg \bar{H}(\bar{u}) = \deg \bar{\gamma}(\bar{u}) + 1. \tag{5.20}$$

In addition, if $\gamma(u)$ is also a gradient function, we can define

$$H(u)=\int_0^1(\gamma(\lambda u),u)\mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{5.21}$$

and then we have

$$\bar{H}(\bar{u})=H(u)h^{i+1}+O(h^{i+2}),\quad \gamma(u)=\frac{\delta H(u)}{\delta u}. \tag{5.22}$$

Proof. Following Proposition 5.3 and noting that

$$\bar{H}(\bar{u})=\int_0^1(\bar{\gamma}(\lambda\bar{u}),\bar{u})\mathrm{d}\lambda=h^{i+1}\int_0^1(\gamma(\lambda u),u)\mathrm{d}\lambda+O(h^{i+2}), \tag{5.23}$$

one has

$$\deg\bar{H}(\bar{u})=\deg\gamma(\bar{u})+\deg\bar{u}=\deg\bar{\gamma}(\bar{u})+1.$$

If $\gamma(u)$ is a gradient function, after defining $H(u)$ in (5.21), from (5.23) we reach to (5.22). □

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that in continuum limit*

$$\bar{\gamma}(\bar{u})=\frac{\delta\bar{H}(\bar{u})}{\delta\bar{u}}=\gamma(u)h^i+O(h^{i+1}),\quad \bar{\vartheta}(\bar{u})=\frac{\delta\bar{I}(\bar{u})}{\delta\bar{u}}=\vartheta(u)h^j+O(h^{j+1}),$$

and both $\gamma(u)$ and $\vartheta(u)$ are still gradient functions. Then, according to Proposition 5.4 we have

$$\bar{H}(\bar{u})=H(u)h^{i+1}+O(h^{i+2}),\quad \bar{I}(\bar{u})=I(u)h^{j+1}+O(h^{j+2}) \tag{5.24}$$

with $\gamma(u)=\frac{\delta H(u)}{\delta u}$, $\vartheta(u)=\frac{\delta I(u)}{\delta u}$, and further

$$\{\bar{H}(\bar{u}),\bar{I}(\bar{u})\}=\{H(u),I(u)\}h^{i+j}+O(h^{i+j+1}), \tag{5.25a}$$

$$\deg\{\bar{H}(\bar{u}),\bar{I}(\bar{u})\}=\deg\bar{H}(\bar{u})+\deg\bar{I}(\bar{u})-2. \tag{5.25b}$$

Proof. Following (5.19a) and Proposition 5.4, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\{\bar{H}(\bar{u}),\bar{I}(\bar{u})\}=(\bar{\gamma}(\bar{u}),\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{u}))=&(\gamma(u),\partial_x\vartheta(u))h^{i+j}+O(h^{i+j+1})\\=&\{H(u),I(u)\}h^{i+j}+O(h^{i+j+1}),\end{aligned}$$

which also indicates the degree relation (5.25b). □

Besides, the following lemmas will be helpful for investigating the degrees of $\bar{A}_m$, $\bar{B}_m$, $\bar{K}_j$ and $\bar{\sigma}_j$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $\bar{W}_m$ is a difference operator*

$$\bar{W}_m=\sum_{j=0}^m\bar{w}_j(\bar{\mathbf{u}})\Delta^{m-j},\quad \text{with } \bar{W}_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0}=0.$$

If $\bar{W}_m$ satisfies

$$[\bar{W}_m,\bar{L}]=0,$$

then $\bar{W}_m=0$.

Proof. Arrange the terms of $[\bar{W}_m, \bar{L}]$ in terms of Δ. The highest order term reads $(\Delta\bar{w}_0)\Delta^{m+1}$, which indicates $\Delta\bar{w}_0 = 0$. This yields $\bar{w}_0 = 0$ due to $\bar{W}_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$. Thus, in the remains the highest order term is $(\Delta\bar{w}_1)\Delta^m$ which should be zero, and then we get $\bar{w}_1 = 0$ by integration in the light of $\bar{W}_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$. Repeating the procedure we will finally reach to $\bar{W}_m = 0$ and finish the proof. □

Similarly we can have

Lemma 5.2. *For the differential operator*

$$W_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m} w_j(\mathbf{u})\partial^{m-j}, \quad \text{with } W_m|_{\mathbf{u}=0} = 0.$$

if

$$[W_m, L] = 0,$$

then $W_m = 0$.

Now let us present more results on degrees.

Proposition 5.6. *For the difference operator*

$$\bar{W}_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \bar{w}_j(\bar{\mathbf{u}})\Delta^{m-j},$$

we have

$$\deg[\bar{W}_m, \bar{L}] \geq \deg \bar{W}_m, \tag{5.26}$$

and if $\bar{W}_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$, *then*

$$\deg[\bar{W}_m, \bar{L}] = \deg \bar{W}_m, \tag{5.27}$$

Proof. (5.26) holds by virtue of Proposition 5.1 and the fact $\deg \bar{L} = 0$. Let us prove (5.27). Suppose that

$$\deg \bar{W}_m = s,$$

i.e.

$$\bar{W}_m = p(\partial_x)h^s + O(h^{s+1}),$$

where $p(\partial_x)$ is some differential operator polynomial and $p(\partial_x) \neq 0$. Then one has

$$[\bar{W}_m, \bar{L}] = [p(\partial_x), L]h^s + O(h^{s+1})$$

with leading term $[p(\partial_x), L]$. If

$$\deg[\bar{W}_m, \bar{L}] > \deg \bar{W}_m, \tag{5.28}$$

which means the leading term of $[\bar{W}_m, \bar{L}]$ has to be zero, i.e.

$$[p(\partial_x), L] = 0. \tag{5.29}$$

Noting that $\bar{W}_m|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$ yields $p(\partial_x)|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$, from (5.29) and Lemma 5.2 one has $p(\partial_x) = 0$. This is contradictory to $\deg \bar{W}_m = s$, which means the assumption (5.28) is not correct, and consequently (5.27) holds. □

Proposition 5.7. *In continuum limit,*

$$\deg \bar{A}_m = 0, \tag{5.30a}$$

$$\bar{A}_m = A_m + O(h). \tag{5.30b}$$

Proof. First, $\bar{A}_m$ can be written in the following form

$$\bar{A}_m = \frac{\Delta^m}{h^m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{a}_j \frac{\Delta^{m-j}}{h^{m-j}} = \partial_x^m + O(h) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{a}_j (\partial_x^{m-j} + O(h)). \tag{5.31}$$

Note that $\bar{A}_m = (\bar{L}^m)_+$. That is to say, $\bar{a}_j$ only contains shifted $\bar{u}_s$ without any integration terms like $\Delta^{-1}\bar{u}_s$. That means $\deg \bar{a}_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots m$, and therefore (5.30a) holds.

Next, one can write $\bar{A}_m$ as

$$\bar{A}_m = A_m^{(0)} + O(h).$$

From (5.31) we know that $A_m^{(0)}$ is a differential operator and $A_m^{(0)}|_{u=0} = \partial_x^m$. Now, from $\bar{L}_{\bar{t}_m} = [\bar{A}_m, \bar{L}]$ we have

$$L_{t_m} = [A_m^{(0)}, L] + O(h)$$

and taking $h \to 0$ it goes to

$$L_{t_m} = [A_m^{(0)}, L].$$

Finally, noting that $A_m^{(0)}|_{u=0} = A_m|_{u=0} = \partial_x^m$, and making use of Lemma 5.2, we have $A^{(0)} = A_m$, i.e. (5.30b) holds. □

Proposition 5.8. *In continuum limit,*

$$\deg \bar{B}_m = 0, \tag{5.32a}$$

$$\bar{B}_m = B_m + O(h). \tag{5.32b}$$

Proof. In the light of Lemma 5.1, $\bar{B}_m$ can be written in the following form

$$\bar{B}_m = \bar{D}_m + \bar{C}_{m-2}, \tag{5.33}$$

where $\bar{D}_m = \bar{x}h\bar{A}_m + (\bar{x} + nh)\bar{A}_{m-1}$, $\bar{C}_{m-2}$ is a pure difference operator and $\bar{C}_{m-2}|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$. Suppose that $\deg \bar{C}_{m-2} = s$, i.e.

$$\bar{C}_{m-2} = C_{m-2}^{(0)} h^s + O(h^{s+1}).$$

From (4.13b) one has

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{t}_m} = [\bar{D}_m, \bar{L}] + [\bar{C}_{m-2}, \bar{L}] + h\bar{L}^m + \bar{L}^{m-1}, \tag{5.34}$$

where $\deg [\bar{C}_{m-2}, \bar{L}] = \deg \bar{C}_{m-2} = s$ due to $\bar{C}_{m-2}|_{\bar{\mathbf{u}}=0} = 0$ together with Proposition 5.6, and the rest terms in (5.34) altogether have degree zero. If $s < 0$, there must have $[C_{m-2}^{(0)}, L] = 0$ which yields $C_{m-2}^{(0)} = 0$ in light of Lemma 5.2. This is in contradiction with the assumption $\deg \bar{C}_{m-2} = s < 0$, and consequently we must have $s \geq 0$. Thus, noting that $\deg \bar{D}_m = 0$, (5.32a) holds.

With (5.32a) in hand, we can write

$$\bar{B}_m = B_m^{(0)} + O(h), \tag{5.35}$$

where

$$B_m^{(0)} = 2yA_m + xA_{m-1} + C_{m-2},$$

and C_{m-2} is a differential operator independent of h and satisfies $C_{m-2}|_{\mathbf{u}=0} = 0$. Substituting (5.35) into (4.13b) the leading term is

$$L_{t_m} = [B_m^{(0)}, L] + L^{m-1}.$$

Obviously, B_m and $B_m^{(0)}$ satisfy same equation and have asymptotic condition

$$B_m|_{\mathbf{u}=0} = B_m^{(0)}|_{\mathbf{u}=0} = 2y\partial_x^m + x\partial_x^{m-1},$$

which gives $B_m^{(0)} = B_m$ in the light of Lemma 5.2. Therefore the relation (5.32b) holds as well. □

Proposition 5.9. *In continuum limit,*

$$\deg \bar{K}_m = 1, \tag{5.36a}$$

$$\bar{K}_m = h\, K_m + O(h^2). \tag{5.36b}$$

Proof. We would like to first specify the following relation,

$$\bar{A}_1 = A_1 + \frac{h}{2}(A_2 - \partial_y) + O(h^2). \tag{5.37}$$

This can be derived by substituting (5.9) and $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u} = hu$ into $\bar{A}_1$. Actually, to derive (5.36) we need higher order expansions. Let us write

$$\bar{A}_m = A_m + A_m^{(1)}h + O(h^2). \tag{5.38}$$

Inserting (5.37) and (5.38) into the zero curvature representation (4.18a) one has

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{K}_m =& \bar{A}_{m,\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_m]\\ =& \frac{h}{2}(A_{m,y} - [A_2, A_m]) + O(h^2)\\ =& h\, K_m + O(h^2).\end{aligned}$$

Besides, (5.36) can also be proved from (3.25) through

$$\bar{K}_m - \Delta \operatorname*{Res}_{\Delta} \bar{L}^m = (K_m - \partial \operatorname*{Res}_{\partial} L^m)h + O(h^2).$$

Thus we compete the proof. □

In a quite similar way, using (5.37), (4.18b) and expression

$$\bar{B}_m = B_m + B_m^{(1)}h + O(h^2), \tag{5.39}$$

we have

Proposition 5.10. *In continuum limit,*

$$\deg \bar{\sigma}_m = 1, \tag{5.40a}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_m = h\, \sigma_m + O(h^2). \tag{5.40b}$$

5.5 Lax triads

From the previous discussion we have known that

$$\bar{L} = L + O(h), \tag{5.41a}$$

$$\bar{A}_1 = A_1 + \frac{h}{2}(A_2 - \partial_y) + O(h^2), \tag{5.41b}$$

$$\bar{A}_m = A_m + A_m^{(1)} h + O(h^2), \tag{5.41c}$$

$$\bar{B}_m = B_m + B_m^{(1)} h + O(h^2). \tag{5.41d}$$

Substituting them into the Lax triads and their compatibility equations in Sec.4 we immediately reach to the following results.

Proposition 5.11. *For the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy we have*

$$\bar{L}\phi - \eta\phi = L\phi - \eta\phi + O(h), \tag{5.42a}$$

$$\phi_{\bar{x}} - \bar{A}_1\phi = \frac{h}{2}(\phi_y - A_2\phi) + O(h^2), \tag{5.42b}$$

$$\phi_{\bar{t}_m} - \bar{A}_m\phi = \phi_{t_m} - A_m\phi + O(h), \tag{5.42c}$$

and

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{L}] = \frac{h}{2}(L_y - [A_2, L]) + O(h^2), \tag{5.43a}$$

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{t}_m} - [\bar{A}_m, \bar{L}] = L_{t_m} - [A_m, L] + O(h), \tag{5.43b}$$

$$\bar{A}_{1,\bar{t}_m} - \bar{A}_{m,\bar{x}} + [\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_m] = \frac{h}{2}(A_{2,t_m} - A_{m,y} + [A_2, A_m]) + O(h^2). \tag{5.43c}$$

Proposition 5.12. *For the non-isospectral DΔKP hierarchy we have*

$$\bar{L}\phi - \eta\phi = L\phi - \eta\phi + O(h), \tag{5.44a}$$

$$\phi_{\bar{x}} - \bar{A}_1\phi = \frac{h}{2}(\phi_y - A_2\phi) + O(h^2), \tag{5.44b}$$

$$\phi_{\bar{t}_m} - \bar{B}_m\phi = \phi_{t_m} - B_m\phi + O(h), \tag{5.44c}$$

and

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{x}} - [\bar{A}_1, \bar{L}] = \frac{h}{2}(L_y - [A_2, L]) + O(h^2), \tag{5.45a}$$

$$\bar{L}_{\bar{t}_m} - [\bar{B}_m, \bar{L}] - h\bar{L}^m - \bar{L}^{m-1} = L_{t_m} - [B_m, L] - L^{m-1} + O(h), \tag{5.45b}$$

$$\bar{A}_{1,\bar{t}_m} - \bar{B}_{m,\bar{x}} + [\bar{A}_1, \bar{B}_m] = \frac{h}{2}(A_{2,t_m} - B_{m,y} + [A_2, B_m]) + O(h^2). \tag{5.45c}$$

5.6 Symmetries and algebra deformation

We have shown that both isospectral DΔKP flows $\{\bar{K}_m\}$ and non-isospectral DΔKP flows $\{\bar{\sigma}_m\}$ go to their continuous counterparts in continuum limit designed in Sec.5.2. However, comparing their basic algebra structures (3.26) and (4.24), one can see that their basic structures are

different. In fact, this deformation in basic structures can be well understood with the help of degrees of flows. Let us take (4.24b) and (3.26b) as an example. (4.24b) reads

$$[\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = l\,(h\bar{K}_{l+r-1} + \bar{K}_{l+r-2}), \tag{5.46}$$

among the three terms of which

$$\deg [\![\bar{K}_l, \bar{\sigma}_r]\!] = 1, \quad \deg (h\bar{K}_{l+r-1}) = 2, \quad \deg \bar{K}_{l+r-2} = 1.$$

Noting that in continuum limit only the terms with the lowest degrees (i.e. leading terms) are remained, and comparing degrees of each term of (5.46) we have

$$[\![K_l, \sigma_r]\!] = l\,K_{l+r-2}, \tag{5.47}$$

i.e. (3.26b). Such degree analysis works as well as in understanding the relationship of symmetries together with their algebras in semi-discrete and continuous cases. Let us conclude these relations in the following.

Theorem 5.1. *In continuum limit, the basic algebra structure* (4.24) *of flows goes to* (3.26)*, symmetries given in* (4.26)

$$\{\bar{K}_l\} \to \{K_l\}, \qquad \{\bar{\tau}_r^s\} \to \{\tau_r^s\},$$

and their basic structure (4.27) *goes to* (3.33)*.*

5.7 Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities

Now let us investigate continuum limits of Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities.

Since

$$\bar{K}_m = h\,K_m + O(h^2), \quad \partial_{\bar{x}} = \partial_x,$$

it is easy to have

$$\bar{K}_m = \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\gamma}_m = h\,K_m + O(h^2) = h\,\partial_x\gamma_m + O(h^2),$$

i.e.

$$\bar{\gamma}_m = h\,\gamma_m + O(h^2),$$

and γ_m is still a gradient function. Then, following Proposition 5.5 we have

$$\bar{H}_m = h^2 H_m + O(h^3).$$

We can conduct similar discussion for the non-isospectral case and get similar results. To sum up, for Hamiltonian structures we have

Proposition 5.13. *The continuum limit designed in Sec.5.2 keeps the Hamiltonian structures of the equation* (4.32) *and* (4.37)*, in which*

$$\bar{\gamma}_m = h\,\gamma_m + O(h^2), \quad \bar{H}_m = h^2 H_m + O(h^3), \tag{5.48a}$$

$$\bar{\omega}_m = h\,\omega_m + O(h^2), \quad \bar{J}_m = h^2 J_m + O(h^3). \tag{5.48b}$$

Next we look at the basic algebraic structure (4.46) composed by the conserved quantities $\{\bar{H}_l\}$ and $\{\bar{I}_r^s\}$. We have seen that in continuum limit $\bar{\gamma}_m(\bar{u})$ and $\bar{\omega}_m(\bar{u})$ go to $\gamma_m(u)$ and $\omega_m(u)$ that are still gradient functions. Noting that $\gamma_m(u) = \frac{\delta H_m(u)}{\delta u}$, $\omega_m(u) = \frac{\delta J_m(u)}{\delta u}$, it then follows from Proposition 5.5 that in continuum limit

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{H}_r\} = \{H_l, H_r\}h^2 + O(h^3),\\
&\{\bar{H}_l, \bar{J}_r\} = \{H_l, J_r\}h^2 + O(h^3),\\
&\{\bar{J}_l, \bar{J}_r\} = \{J_l, J_r\}h^2 + O(h^3).
\end{aligned}$$

We use the same trick as in the previous subsection for symmetries. By comparing degrees of both sides of the basic algebraic relation (4.48), the leading terms give

$$\{H_l, H_r\} = 0, \tag{5.49a}$$
$$\{H_l, J_r\} = l\, H_{l+r-2}, \tag{5.49b}$$
$$\{J_l, J_r\} = (l-r)J_{l+r-2}, \tag{5.49c}$$

i.e. (3.43). This also leads to the basic algebraic relation (3.46). Let us conclude it in the following.

Theorem 5.2. *In the continuum limit in Sec.5.2, we have*

$$\{\bar{H}_l\} \to \{H_l\}, \quad \{\bar{J}_r\} \to \{J_r\}, \quad \{\bar{I}_r^s\} \to \{I_r^s\},$$

the basic algebra structure (4.48) *goes to* (3.43) *and the basic structure* (4.46) *goes to* (3.46).

5.8 Deformation of Lie algebras

Now let us see something special of the obtained algebras. The Lie algebra $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ spanned by the DΔKP flows $\{\bar{K}_m\}$ and $\{\bar{\sigma}_m\}$ with the basic structures (4.24) has generators $\{\bar{K}_1, \bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_3\}$ w.r.t. the product $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]$; while the Lie algebra $\mathbf{X}$ spanned by the KP flows $\{K_m\}$ and $\{\sigma_m\}$ with the basic structures (3.26) has generators $\{K_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_4\}$. Obviously, the two algebras have different basic structures: (3.26) is a neat centerless Kac-Moody-Virasoro structure but (4.24) is not. Now let us look at subalgebras. $\mathbf{X}$ has infinitely many subalgebras spanned by $\{K_1, K_2, \cdots, K_j, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; for $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ it also has infinitely many subalgebras spanned by $\{\bar{K}_1, \bar{K}_2, \cdots, \bar{K}_j, \bar{\sigma}_1\}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Moreover, by means of calculating degrees of flows the deformation in the basic algebraic structures can be understood in continuum limit. However, the continuum limit does not keep generators and subalgebras. In fact, such discontinuity of Lie algebras of flows (or symmetries), also known as the contraction of algebras, is not rare to see in some semi-discrete cases when they go to their continuous correspondences in continuous limit [33, 35, 36]. Here, the spacing parameter h acts as a contraction parameter that bring changes of basic algebraic structures.

Since the basic algebraic structures (4.48) for Hamiltonians, (4.46) for conserved quantities and (4.24) for flows are the same, and the basic structures (3.43) for Hamiltonians, (3.46) for conserved quantities and (3.26) for flows are also same, they have same deformations.

6 Conclusions

We have discussed integrable properties of the DΔKP hierarchy, including symmetries, Hamiltonian structures and conserved quantities. The obtained results are isospectral and non-isospectral DΔKP flows and their Lie algebra, two sets of symmetries of the isospectral hierarchy and their Lie algebra, Hamiltonian structures of isospectral and non-isospectral hierarchies, Lie algebra of the Hamiltonians, two sets of conserved quantities of the isospectral hierarchy and their Lie algebra, and all these Lie algebras have same basic algebraic structures. To achieve these, we introduced Lax triads as our starting point. In this approach we consider the spatial variable $\bar{x}$ (y for the KP system) as a new independent variable that is completely independent of the temporal variable $\bar{t}_1$ (t_2 for the KP system). Such a separation of spatial and temporal variables not only enables us to derive master symmetries as non-isospectral flows but also provides simple zero curvature representations for both isospectral and non-isospectral flows, which leads to a Lie algebra with recursive structures of these flows. Compared with the traditional treatments, we believe that the Lax triad approach would be more reasonable in the study of (2+1)-dimensional systems related to pseudo-difference operators and pseudo-differential operators. Besides, explicit recursion operators might exist and be used to investigate integrable (2+1)-dimensional systems [6, 37], which is absent in discrete case.

Continuum limit acts as a bridge to connect discrete and continuous integrable systems. However, such connections usually are hidden behind integrable discretization [33, 38–43]. It is not easy to find out a uniform continuum limit to connect both equations and their integrable properties, and sometimes combinatorics are used. In the paper we designed a continuum limit that connects the DΔKP and KP hierarchies. The continuum limit has been shown to keep their Lax triads, zero curvature representations, Hamiltonian structures (for both isospectral and non-isospectral cases), symmetries and conserved quantities. We defined and made use of *degrees* of some elements to analyze continuum limits. By calculating and comparing degrees the deformation in the basic algebraic structures can be understood in continuum limits. We also want to emphasize that in our continuum limit the traditional DΔKP equation $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_2} = \bar{K}_2$ goes to the linear equation $u_{t_2} = u_y$ rather than the KP equation. It turns out that the next member $\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_3} = \bar{K}_3$ corresponds to the continuous KP equation.

The pseudo-difference operator $\bar{L}$ is not a unique means for investigating the DΔKP hierarchy. In a series of papers [44–47] the discrete KP equation together with related continuum limits, conserved quantities, Hamiltonian structures and semi-discrete KP hierarchies were investigated starting from the so-called direct linearization approach. In their approach fully discrete KP is a starting point, infinitely many conserved quantities were derived from a time-independent scattering data, and semi-discrete hierarchy were generated in continuum limit by defining an infinite number of continuous temporal variables. Here we have given more conserved quantities and more algebraic structures for the DΔKP hierarchy. The integrable master symmetries played important roles in our paper, and in the continuum limit we have fixed time variables so that the continuum limit keeps Hamiltonian structures for the whole hierarchies.

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Prof. Deng-yuan Chen for discussion. We also sincerely thank Prof. Frank W. Nijhoff for kindly pointing out some important references. This project is supported by the NSF of China (No. 11071157), SRF of the DPHE of China (No. 20113108110002)

and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. J50101).

A Formulae on Gâteaux derivatives

We collect some formulae of Gâteaux derivatives that are often used. For convenience we take $F = F(x, \{v^{(j)}\})$ as an example where $v = v(t, x)$ and $v^{(j)} = \partial_x^j v$. These formulae are

$$\begin{aligned}
&F'[g] = \sum_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial v^{(j)}} \partial_x^j g,\\
&\partial_x F = \tilde{\partial}_x F + F'[v_x],\\
&\tilde{\partial}_x(F'[g]) = (\tilde{\partial}_x F)'[g] + F'[\tilde{\partial}_x g],\\
&(F'[a])'[b] = (F')'[b] \circ [a] + F' \circ a'[b],\\
&(F')'[a] \circ [b] = (F')'[b] \circ [a],\\
&F'[\![a, b]\!] = (F'[a])'[b] - (F'[b])'[a],\\
&\partial_x(F'[g]) = (\partial_x F)'[g].
\end{aligned}$$

The first formula can be used to prove others.

B Discussion of conserved quantities

Based on Proposition 2.2, using symmetries and conserved covariants one can construct conserved quantities via inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Conserved quantities can also be constructed through gradient functions and Proposition 2.1. It is necessary to investigate the relationship of these conserved quantities derived from different ways. For the isospectral KP hierarchy, we have

Theorem B.1. *For each equation*

$$u_{t_s} = K_s \tag{B.1}$$

in the isospectral KP hierarchy (3.13)*, we have*

$$(K_l, \gamma_r) = 0, \tag{B.2a}$$
$$(K_l, \vartheta_r^s) = -(\tau_l^s, \gamma_r) = -l\, H_{l+r-2}, \tag{B.2b}$$
$$(\tau_l^s, \vartheta_r^s) = -(l - r) I_{l+r-2}^s, \tag{B.2c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$, H_m and I_m^s are conserved quantities defined in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3,

$$\{K_l\}, \quad \{\tau_r^s = s t_s K_{s+r-2} + \sigma_r\} \tag{B.3}$$

are symmetries of equation (B.1)*,*

$$\{\gamma_l = \partial^{-1} K_l\}, \quad \{\vartheta_r^s = \partial^{-1} \tau_r^s = s t_s \gamma_{s+r-2} + \omega_r\} \tag{B.4}$$

are conserved covariants of (B.1)*. Note that we set $H_0 = I_0^s = 0$ and $K_0 = \tau_0^s = 0$.*

We skip the proof and a similar proof will be given in the next theorem.

Theorem B.2. *For each equation*

$$\bar{u}_{\bar{t}_s} = \bar{K}_s \tag{B.5}$$

in the isospectral DΔKP hierarchy (4.8)*, we have*

$$(\bar{K}_l, \bar{\gamma}_r) = 0, \tag{B.6a}$$
$$(\bar{K}_l, \bar{\vartheta}^s_r) = -(\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\gamma}_r) = -l\,(h\bar{H}_{l+r-1} + \bar{H}_{l+r-2}), \tag{B.6b}$$
$$(\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\vartheta}^s_r) = -(l-r)(h\bar{I}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{I}^s_{l+r-2}), \tag{B.6c}$$

where $l, r, s \geq 1$, $\bar{H}_m$ and $\bar{I}^s_m$ are conserved quantities defined in Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4,

$$\{\bar{K}_l\}, \quad \{\bar{\tau}^s_r = s\bar{t}_s(h\bar{K}_{s+r-1} + \bar{K}_{s+r-2}) + \bar{\sigma}_r\} \tag{B.7}$$

are symmetries of equation (B.1)*,*

$$\{\bar{\gamma}_l = \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{K}_l\}, \quad \{\bar{\vartheta}^s_r = \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}\bar{\tau}^s_r = s\bar{t}_s(h\bar{\gamma}_{s+r-1} + \bar{\gamma}_{s+r-2}) + \bar{\omega}_r\} \tag{B.8}$$

are conserved covariants of (B.5)*. Here we set $\bar{H}_0 = \bar{I}^s_0 = 0$ and $\bar{K}_0 = \bar{\tau}^s_0 = 0$.*

Proof. We only prove (B.6c), and others are similar. Noting that the relation (4.27c), i.e.

$$[\![\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\tau}^s_r]\!] = (l-r)(h\bar{\tau}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{\tau}^s_{l+r-2}) \tag{B.9}$$

from l.h.s. we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}[\![\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\tau}^s_r]\!] &= \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}^{s\prime}_l[\bar{\tau}^s_r] - \bar{\tau}^{s\prime}_r[\bar{\tau}^s_l]) \\ &= \partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}^{s\prime}_l\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\vartheta}^s_r - \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\vartheta}^{s\prime}_r\bar{\tau}^s_l).\end{aligned}$$

Then, in the light of Corollary 4.3 we get $\bar{\tau}^{s\prime}_l\partial_{\bar{x}} = -\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\tau}^{s\prime *}_l$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}[\![\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\tau}^s_r]\!] &= -\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\tau}^{s\prime *}_l\bar{\vartheta}^s_r + \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{\vartheta}^{s\prime *}_r\bar{\tau}^s_l) \\ &= -(\bar{\tau}^{s\prime *}_l\bar{\vartheta}^s_r + \bar{\vartheta}^{s\prime *}_r\bar{\tau}^s_l) \\ &= -\mathrm{grad}(\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\vartheta}^s_r),\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of $\bar{\vartheta}^{s\prime}_r = \bar{\vartheta}^{s\prime *}_r$ and Lemma 4.3. Meanwhile, from the r.h.s. of (B.9) we have

$$\partial_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(l-r)(h\bar{\tau}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{\tau}^s_{l+r-2}) = (l-r)(h\bar{\vartheta}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{\vartheta}^s_{l+r-2}).$$

Thus, recovering potentials from the above two formulae we have the relation

$$(\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\vartheta}^s_r) = -(l-r)(h\bar{I}^s_{l+r-1} + \bar{I}^s_{l+r-2}) + c,$$

where c is at most related to $\bar{t}_s$ because $\bar{I}^s_m$ is also defined through inner product. Noting that both $(\bar{\tau}^s_l, \bar{\vartheta}^s_r)$ and $\bar{I}^s_m$ are conserved quantities of equation (B.5), c must be independent of $\bar{t}_s$ and therefore it becomes trivial and we can take $c = 0$ without loss of generality. Thus we reach to (B.6c). □

With regard to the relationship of (B.6) and (B.2), thanks to the results obtained in Sec.5, we can conclude that

Theorem B.3. *In the continuum limit designed in Sec.5.2, the relation* (B.6) *goes to* (B.2)*.*

References

[1] Y. Ohta, J. Satsuma, D. Takahashi, T. Tokihiro, An elementary introduction to Sato theory, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl., 94 (1988) 210-41.

[2] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, E. Date, *Solitons: Differential Equations, Symmetries and Infinite Dimensional Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[3] L.A. Dickey, *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, 2nd ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2003.

[4] J. Matsukidaira, J. Satsuma, W. Strampp, Conserved quantities and symmetries of KP hierarchy, J. Math. Phys., 31 (1990) 1426-34.

[5] D.Y. Chen, H.W. Xin, D.J. Zhang, Lie algebraic structures of (1+2)-dimensional Lax integrable systems, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 15 (2003) 761-70.

[6] P.M. Santini, A.S. Fokas, Recursion operators and bi-Hamiltonian structures in multidimensions (I), Commun. Math. Phys., 115 (1988) 375-419.

[7] W. Oevel, B. Fuchssteiner, Explicit formulas for symmetries and conservation laws of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, Phys. Lett. A, 88 (1982) 323-7.

[8] H.H. Chen, Y.C. Lee, J.E. Lin, On a new hierarchy of symmetries for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, Physica D, 9 (1983) 439-45.

[9] K.M. Case, A theorem about Hamiltonian systems, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 81 (1984) 5893-95.

[10] K.M. Case, Symmetries of the higher-order KP equations, J. Math. Phys., 26 (1985) 1158-59.

[11] Y. Cheng, Y.S. Li, R.K. Bullough, Integrable nonisospectral flows associated with the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations in 2+1 dimensions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 21 (1988) L443-9.

[12] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations: II, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 51 (1982) 4125-31.

[13] T. Miwa, On Hirota's difference equations, Proc. Jpn. Acad., 58A (1982) 9-12.

[14] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations: I, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 51 (1982) 4116-24.

[15] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations: III, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 52 (1983) 388-93.

[16] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations: IV, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 52 (1983) 761-65.

[17] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method for generating discrete soliton equations: V, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 52 (1983) 766-71.

[18] S. Kanaga Vel, K.M. Tamizhmani, Lax pairs, symmetries and conservation laws of a differential-difference equation-Sato's approach, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 8 (1997) 917-31.

[19] S. Kanaga Vel, On certain integrability aspects of differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, PhD Thesis, Pondicherry University, India, 1998.

[20] X.L. Sun, D.J. Zhang, X.Y. Zhu, D.Y. Chen, Symmetries and Lie algebra of the differential-difference Kadomstev-Petviashvili hierarchy, Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 24 (2010) 1033-42.

[21] D.J. Zhang, Conservation laws of the differential-difference KP equation, J. Shanghai Univ., 9 (2005) 206-9.

[22] B. Fuchssteiner, Master symmetries, higher order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations, Prog. Theor. Phys., 70 (1983) 1508-22.

[23] B. Fuchssteiner, A.S. Fokas, Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries, Physica D, 4 (1981) 47-66.

[24] D.J. Zhang, D.Y. Chen, Some general formulas in the Sato theory, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 72 (2003) 448-9.

[25] D.Y. Chen, H.W. Zhang, Lie algebraic structure for the AKNS system, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 24 (1991) 377-83.

[26] W.X. Ma, Lax representations and Lax operator algebras of isospectral and nonisospectral hierarchies of evolution equations, J. Math. Phys., 33 (1992) 2464-76.

[27] W.X. Ma, B. Fuchssteiner, Algebraic structure of discrete zero curvature equations and master symmetries of discrete evolution equations, J. Math. Phys., 40 (1999) 2400-18.

[28] K.M. Tamizhmani, W.X. Ma, Master symmetries from Lax operators for certain lattice soliton hierarchies, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 69 (2000) 351-61.

[29] D.Y. Chen, D.J. Zhang, Lie algebraic structures of (1+1)-dimensional Lax integrable systems, J. Math. Phys., 37 (1996) 5524-38.

[30] D.J. Zhang, T.K. Ning, J.B. Bi, D.Y. Chen, New symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchies, Phys. Lett. A, 359(5), (2006), 458-66.

[31] D.J. Zhang, S.T. Chen, Symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy: Part I. Four-potential case, Stud. Appl. Math., 125 (2010) 393-418.

[32] D.J. Zhang, D.Y. Chen, Hamiltonian structure of discrete soliton systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 35 (2002) 7225-41.

[33] D.J. Zhang, S.T. Chen, Symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy: Part II. Integrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations and discrete AKNS hierarchy, Stud. Appl. Math., 125 (2010) 419-43.

[34] C.X. Li, J.J.C. Nimmo, K.M. Tamizhmani, On solutions to the non-Abelian Hirota-Miwa equation and its continuum limits, Proc. R. Soc. A, 465, (2009) 1441-51.

[35] R. Hernández Heredero, D. Levi, M.A. Rodríguez, P. Winternitz, Lie algebra contractions and symmetries of the Toda hierarchy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 33 (2000) 5025-40.

[36] R. Hernández Heredero, D. Levi, P. Winternitz, Symmetries of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Theor. Math. Phys., 127 (2001) 729-37.

[37] A.S. Fokas, P.M. Santini, Recursion operators and bi-Hamiltonian structures in multidimensions (II), Commun. Math. Phys., 116 (1988) 449-74.

[38] R. Hirota, Nonlinear partial difference equations. I. A difference analogue of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 43 (1977) 1424-33.

[39] R. Hirota, Nonlinear partial difference equations. II. Discrete-time Toda equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 43 (1977) 2074-8.

[40] R. Hirota, Nonlinear partial difference equations. III. Discrete sine-Gordon equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 43 (1977) 2079-86.

[41] C. Morosi, L. Pizzocchero, On the continuous limit of integrable lattices I. The Kac-Moerbeke system and KdV theory, Commun. Math. Phys., 180 (1996) 505-28.

[42] C. Morosi, L. Pizzocchero, On the continuous limit of integrable lattices II. Volterra systems and $sp(N)$ theories, Rev. Math. Phys., 10 (1998) 235-70.

[43] C. Morosi, L. Pizzocchero, On the continuous limit of integrable lattices III. Kupershmidt systems and $sl(N+1)$ KdV theories, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 31 (1998) 2727-46.

[44] G.L. Wiersma, H.W. Capel, F.W. Nijhoff, Linearizing integral transformation for the multicomponent lattice KP, Physica A, 138 (1986) 76-99.

[45] G.L. Wiersma, H.W. Capel, Lattice equations, hierarchies and Hamiltonian structures: The Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, Phys. Lett. A, 124 (1987) 124-30.

[46] G.L. Wiersma, H.W. Capel, Lattice equations, hierarchies and Hamiltonian structures: II. KP-type of hierarchies on 2D lattices, Physica A, 149 (1988) 49-74.

[47] G.L. Wiersma, H.W. Capel, Lattice equations, hierarchies and Hamiltonian structures: III. The 2D Toda and KP hierarchies, Physica A, 149 (1988) 75-106.